

**Code of conduct  
on horticulture and  
invasive alien plants**

Vernon Heywood  
and  
Sarah Brunel

**Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife  
and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention)**

Nature and environment, No. 155

Council of Europe Publishing

French edition

*Code de conduite sur l'horticulture et les plantes exotiques envahissantes*

ISBN 978-92-871-6598-5

For a full list of titles in this series, please see the back of the book.

The opinions expressed in this work are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy of the Directorate of Culture and Cultural and Natural Heritage.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic (CD-Rom, Internet, etc.) or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the Publishing Division, Communication and Research Directorate.

Cover design Graphic Design Workshop, Council of Europe  
Cover image BIOS Agency (see photo credits at the back of the book)

Council of Europe Publishing  
F-67075 Strasbourg Cedex  
ISBN 978-92-871-6597-8  
Council of Europe, ...  
Printed at the Council of Europe

# Contents

## Introduction

Characteristics of horticultural invasive alien plants.....	5
Pathways of introduction of invasive alien plants .....	6
Environmental and economic impacts .....	8
Botanic gardens and invasive species .....	9
Existing initiatives.....	10
The Code of Conduct – a voluntary instrument.....	13

## The Code of Conduct

Audience and aims .....	15
Be aware of which species are invasive in your area .....	15
Know exactly what you are growing: ensure that material introduced into cultivation is correctly identified .....	16
Be aware of regulations concerning invasive alien plants .....	17
Work in co-operation with other stakeholders, both in the trade and the conservation and plant protection sectors .....	22
Agree which plant species are a threat and cease to stock them or make them available .....	22
Avoid using invasive or potentially alien plants in large-scale public plantings.....	23
Adopt good labelling practices.....	24
Make substitutes for invasives available .....	25
Be careful how you get rid of plant waste and dispose of unwanted stock of plants and plant-containing waste.....	26
Adopt good production practices to avoid unintentional introduction and spread.....	27
Engage in publicity and outreach activities .....	29
Take into account the increased risks of alien plant invasions due to global change.....	31
References.....	32

## Appendices

Appendix 1: Definitions .....	38
Appendix 2: Examples of existing initiatives .....	40
Appendix 3: St Louis Voluntary Codes of Conduct.....	42
Appendix 4: Recommendations proposed to lessen the overall impact of invasive plant species deliberately introduced for horticulture and currently available for sale. ....	43
Appendix 5: List of species considered invasive in the Euro-Mediterranean region.....	44
Appendix 6: Examples of proposals of alternative plants for the South of France.....	45



This code of conduct was prepared by Vernon Heywood and Sarah Brunel as a joint collaboration of the Council of Europe (CoE) and the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO). On the basis of this document, EPPO is developing “Guidelines on the development of a code of conduct on horticulture and invasive alien plants” that are directed to national plant protection organisations.

We are grateful to the many organisations and individuals that have generously provided us with comments or suggestions, in particular the EPPO Panel on Invasive Alien Species, Francis Brot, Keith Davenport, Franz Essl, Swen Follak, Helia Marchante, Madeleine McMullen, Françoise Petter and Richard Shaw.

We have endeavoured to take these comments into account as far as possible.

# CODE OF CONDUCT ON HORTICULTURE AND INVASIVE ALIEN PLANTS

## Introduction

*“Most invasive plants have been introduced for horticultural use by nurseries, botanical gardens, and individuals”* (Reichard and White 2001).

Many of the plants used in European agriculture, horticulture and forestry are not native to the continent but have been introduced deliberately or accidentally at various times over the past 2 000 years from different parts of the world as a consequence of human activity. A distinction is often made in Europe between archaeophytes and neophytes – plants introduced before or after 1492/1500 respectively (cf. Webb 1985; Elorza et al. 2004).

The European economy depends to a large extent on the cultivation of such alien plants. Most of these introductions have been beneficial to humans and have not caused problems through their becoming weedy or invasive. However, a small percentage of these introductions escape from cultivation, become naturalised and invade natural, semi-natural or human-made ecosystems. They are known as **invasive alien plants (IAPs)** and may have significant ecological or economic consequences or become harmful to human health. Their potential to alter ecosystem structure and function drastically has been widely recognised in recent years (cf. Levine et al. 2003). Globally, invasive alien species are widely acknowledged (for example, by the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment) as one of the major threats to biodiversity, second only to habitat loss and degradation. In South Africa, alien plant species are considered the single biggest threat to the country’s biological biodiversity<sup>1</sup> and now cover more than 10.1 million hectares, threatening indigenous plants. They cause billions of South African rands of damage to the country’s economy every year. A comprehensive overview of invasive species in natural areas is provided by Weber’s *Invasive plant species of the world. A reference guide to environmental weeds*.<sup>2</sup> It covers 450 species that affect natural habitats in various parts of the world.

The terminology applied to invasive plants can be very confusing and there is little consistency of usage of the various terms applied. For details see Appendix 1.

### 1. Characteristics of horticultural invasive alien plants

It is exceedingly difficult to determine which biological characteristics are good indicators of invasiveness, but although there are no generally applicable characteristics that apply to plants that become invasive in horticulture, they often share some of the

---

1. [www.dwaf.gov.za/wfw/](http://www.dwaf.gov.za/wfw/).

2. Weber, E, *Invasive plant species of the world: A reference guide to environmental weeds*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK (2003).

following features: rapid growth and reproduction, ability to colonise disturbed or bare ground, short growth cycle, early flowering and seeding, production of large quantities of fruits and/or seeds, effective vegetative propagation and spread (especially in aquatic plants), ability to use local pollinators, different phenology from native species allowing them to out-compete, and disease- and pest-resistance. These are also the characteristics of many weeds. Moreover, some of these features which make them easy to grow may be the very reason that these plants are popular in horticulture. Thus successful garden plant introductions may well have features that predispose them to becoming invasive (Dehnen-Schmutz et al. 2007). An analysis of traits that might serve to separate invasive from non-invasive species, which was carried out on 235 woody invasives and 114 woody non-invasives that had been available in the United States since before 1930, found that 54% of the woody species that invade the United States also invade other parts of the world, 44% of them spread by vegetative means and have shorter juvenile phases, and 51% do not require pre-treatment of the seeds for germination (Reichard 2000). The non-invasive species scored much less for these traits.

Taxonomic affinity may also give some clues as to invasiveness: Reichard's study also showed that of 76 serious invaders, 48 (63%) occurred in six families, Rosaceae, Leguminosae, Myrtaceae, Salicaceae, Oleaceae and Caprifoliaceae. A broader study by Heywood (1989) showed that invasive species were more frequent in large "natural" families such as the Apiaceae, Asteraceae, Brassicaceae, Lamiaceae, Leguminosae and Poaceae, which possess complex and successful reproductive and dispersal mechanisms. As he notes, it is largely true that the very features that have been responsible for the evolutionary success and diversification of these families are those that have been responsible for their success as invasives.

Since ornamental species are the largest pool for species that subsequently become invasive, there is clearly a need to adopt a more risk-based approach, combined with the use of the precautionary principle and good scientific research, to try and avoid the undesirable consequences of this continued importation of new ornamental species whose invasive potential is unknown.

Because of the diversity of pathways of introduction and of the species currently or potentially involved, designing a regulatory mechanism, albeit voluntary, is a major challenge. Other difficulties stem from the fact that there is often a delay before introduced taxa become invasive (known as the lag phase).

## **2. Pathways of introduction of invasive alien plants**

Ornamental horticulture has been recognised as the main pathway of plant invasions worldwide (Reichard and White 2001; Dehnen-Schmutz et al. 2007). It is estimated that 80% of current invasive alien plants in Europe were introduced as ornamental or agricultural plants (Hulme 2007). But as Shine (2005) notes, there is little consistency of approach between countries or regions on the assessment and management of these risks. However, a framework has been proposed by Hulme et al. (2008), to facilitate the comparative analysis of introduction pathways of a wide range of taxa in both terrestrial

and aquatic ecosystems which may provide advice on how to deal with the pathways and their integration into policy.

The horticultural industry in Europe and elsewhere in the world has brought great benefit, both social and economic, and has made a vast array of plant diversity available to the public. In Europe about 17 000 taxa (12 000 species plus subspecies, varieties and hybrids) are grown in gardens (European Garden Flora Committee 1984–2000) and new introductions are constantly being sought. There are strong incentives to introduce new plants into horticulture and these are often welcomed by the public, who show a fascination for novelty in this as in other areas.

Although biological invasions have occurred in Europe in the past, a classic example being the aphid *Phylloxera vastatrix*, which devastated European vineyards, destroying a million hectares in France alone, concern at the impacts of alien invasive plant species has not been a major concern until recently. There was, however, a backlash in the 19th century against the introduction of large numbers of ornamental species to gardens, and the merits of growing foreign plant species, especially those from the tropics, was much debated (Heywood 2006; Preston 2002). More recently, such approaches to limit or control introduced species have been criticised as being nativist, racist or xenophobic (Simberloff 2003), but this is seldom justified as in most cases the motivation for this action is soundly based on documented assessment of the likely economic, ecological or social impacts that bioinvasions will cause (Heywood 2006).

Some of the most serious problems caused in Europe by invasive aliens are from aquatic plants which have escaped from garden ponds, aquaria or water gardens (for example, *Crassula helmsii*, *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Hydrocotyle ranunculoides*, etc.). These plants often reproduce rapidly by vegetative means and can rapidly colonise large areas. They may pose a threat to native plants and animals and ecosystems and can choke waterways and rivers. Some aquatic invaders are readily available from garden centres, aquarium shops and similar outlets, and as the Royal Horticultural Society's guidelines on invasive non-native species<sup>3</sup> notes, they are frequently misidentified and no indication is given of their invasive nature. An investigation has shown that effectively every aquatic or wetland plant listed in the United States as a Federal Noxious Weed or as a noxious weed in one or more states was available through mail order or the Internet (Kay and Hoyle 2001). Online sites selling invasive aquatics were worldwide and one of the largest sites was in Denmark.

Other unintentional pathways include discarded garden waste, compost heaps, packaging materials, ballast water (in the case of aquatic plants), soil as a growing medium, machinery and equipment, packaging and containers.

Neither the number of naturalised nor of alien invasive species in Europe is known with any degree of accuracy. In an analysis of the data in *Flora Europaea* (Tutin, Heywood et al. 1964–80), Weber (1997) arrived at a figure of 1 568 for plant species

---

3. RHS Conservation and Environment Guideline *Invasive non-native species*. Royal Horticultural Society, Wisley. [www.rhs.org.uk/learning/research/Conservation\\_and\\_environment\\_nonnative.asp](http://www.rhs.org.uk/learning/research/Conservation_and_environment_nonnative.asp).

naturalised in Europe. Lambdon et al. (2008) analysed the established alien flora of Europe and found that in the European region there are 3 749 naturalised alien species, of which 1 969 are native in some region in Europe and 1 780 are of extra-European origin. Estimates of numbers of casual species from which the invasive species recruit are highly underestimated. Although no comprehensive survey of invasive plant species in Europe has been produced, data are available for individual countries, for example North Europe and Baltic countries (NOBANIS<sup>4</sup>), Hungary, Portugal, Spain, the United Kingdom, etc. The European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) maintains a database on quarantine pests, including invasive alien plants,<sup>5</sup> and the European project DAISIE<sup>6</sup> provides distribution of invasive alien plants for Europe.

Although generally in Europe invasive plant species do not constitute such a serious problem as in other parts of the world such as Australia, Africa and the United States, their impact is quite often highly damaging and likely to increase as a consequence of climate change, the greater mobility of human populations, rapidly growing transport technology, expanding tourism and travel activities, and the expansion and globalisation of trade (and of the European Union borders).

### 3. Environmental and economic impacts

In addition to the economic costs of eradication and management, alien invasive species can have various adverse impacts such as reduction in yields of crops, reduction or loss of land value and damage to infrastructure. For instance, in Morocco, the value of infested lands decreased by 25% when invaded by *Solanum elaeagnifolium*, and without treatment losses of up to 64% in maize and 78% in cotton have been reported (EPPO 2007). Examples of the economic costs of invasive species are given in various reports. For example, in Germany the annual costs incurred by infestation by Giant Hogweed (*Heracleum mantegazzianum*) is estimated at €12 313 000 (Reinhardt et al. 2008). The eradication of *Carpobrotus edulis* and *C. acinaciformis*, in various parts of the Mediterranean, notably Majorca and Menorca in Spain, incurs annual costs of hundreds of thousands of euros and has been included in *LIFE Nature* projects (see Scalera and Zaghi 2004). Some idea of the scale of the operations involved can be obtained from the campaign to eradicate *Carpobrotus* undertaken in Minorca from 2002 to 2005: 233 785 m<sup>2</sup> of *Carpobrotus* were eliminated, representing the removal of 832 148 kg of biomass and involving 9 041 hours of work (Fraga i Arguimbau 2007). In the UK, the estimate for control by herbicides of the total area infested by the aquatic invasive *Hydrocotyle ranunculoides* introduced from North America is between £250 000 and £300 000 per

---

4. North European and Baltic Network on Invasive Alien Species (NOBANIS): Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Faroe Islands, Germany, Greenland, Iceland, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, the European part of Russia, Slovakia, and Sweden, [www.nobanis.org/default.asp](http://www.nobanis.org/default.asp). The database of alien species in NOBANIS will be used to identify species that are invasive at present and species that may in the future become invasive. NOBANIS thus provides the foundation for the future development of an early warning system for invasive alien species.

5. EPPO Plant Quarantine Data Retrieval System, [www.eppo.org/DATABASES/pqr/pqr.htm](http://www.eppo.org/DATABASES/pqr/pqr.htm).

6. Delivering Alien Invasive Species Inventory for Europe: [www.europe-aliens.org/](http://www.europe-aliens.org/).

year while adequate control of another invasive aquatic, *Crassula helmsii*, from Australasia, is estimated at about £3 000 000 (Leach and Dawson 1999).

Another example is *Rhododendron ponticum*, introduced into Britain c. 1763, probably from Spain, as an ornamental which subsequently became naturalised and invasive, displacing native species, and which today affects 52 000 hectares of land, more than 30 000 hectares of which is in nature reserves. An economic analysis of the cost of controlling it in Britain based on the responses to a questionnaire to land owners and managers indicated that in 2001, respondents controlled 1 275 ha of *R. ponticum*<sup>7</sup> at a cost of £670 924 (Dehnen-Schmutz et al. 2004), although an optimal level of control would be very much higher.

In terms of species loss caused by invasive alien plants, Buord and Lesouëf (2006) found in a review of red-listed plant species in the pan-European area that 29 plant species were highly threatened or actually became extinct because of the effects of invasive species.

#### **4. Botanic gardens and invasive species**

Europe's numerous botanic gardens which cultivate tens of thousands of exotic plants have also been responsible for the introduction of a number of invasive species. An example is the Oxford Ragwort (*Senecio squalidus*), a hybrid of two Sicilian species, *S. aethnensis* and *S. chrysanthemifolius*, first grown in Oxford University's botanic garden in the early 18th century, which after some years escaped and spread into the city, and then with the advent of the railway along the tracks (Abbott et al. 2000). Subsequently it has hybridised with native British species, resulting in fertile derivatives, some of which have been recognised as separate species such as *S. cambrensis* and *S. eboracensis* (James and Abbott 2006).

This Code of Conduct is not addressed at European botanic gardens, although many of its recommendations may be relevant to their activities. Further, the European horticultural trade and botanic gardens are increasingly working in partnership on some issues and invasive ornamental species is a highly appropriate topic for working out joint policies. Various other Codes or guidelines exist that are aimed specifically at botanic gardens such as the German-Austrian Code of Conduct for the cultivation and management of invasive alien plants in Botanic Gardens,<sup>8</sup> or the International Plant

---

7. Recent research suggests that *Rhododendron ponticum* is at least partly, possibly largely a hybrid formed in Britain between *R. ponticum* and the American *R. catawbiense* and other species (Milne and Abbott 2000).

8. Kiehn, M, Lauerer, M, Lobin, W, Schepker, H and Klingenstein, F (2007), Grundsätzen im Umgang mit invasiven und potentiell invasiven Pflanzenarten in Botanischen Gärten des Verbandes Botanischer Gärten und der AG Österreichischer Botanischer Gärten. *Gärtnerisch-Botanischer Brief* 169 (4): 39-41.

Exchange Network (IPEN) Code of Conduct<sup>9</sup> and, in the United States, the *Chicago Botanic Garden Invasive Plant Policy Synopsis* and the *Missouri Botanical Garden Code of Conduct*.

## 5. Existing initiatives

### *European legal and policy framework*

**The Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern, 1979) (Bern Convention)** implements the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) at regional level, and co-ordinates action of European governments in matters related to the conservation of biological diversity. In 2002, the Bern Convention adopted a European Strategy on Invasive Alien Species (IAS) which aimed to provide guidance to countries to draw up and implement national strategies on IAS (Genovesi and Shine 2002). The Strategy identifies priorities and key actions for governments and conservation agencies, promotes the development and implementation of co-ordinated measures and co-operative efforts throughout Europe to prevent or minimise adverse impacts of invasive alien species, and proposes measures required to recover species and natural habitats affected by IAS.

The **European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO)** promotes the exchange and synthesis of information and facilitates collaboration in support of the role of national plant protection organisations (i.e. Ministries of Agriculture). EPPO promotes early warning through its reporting service, which provides information on new outbreaks. In 2003, EPPO initiated a list of invasive alien plants at the scale of its 50 member countries, a list of plants proposed for regulation based on pest risk analysis, and is currently working on a wider prioritisation process on invasive alien plants. *Guidelines for the management of invasive alien plants or potentially invasive alien plants which are intended for import or have been intentionally imported* (EPPO Standard PM3/67, 2005) have been published, and EPPO also provides management measures (for example, on *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*).

The **Nature and Biodiversity Unit of the European Commission** is currently developing a European Union (EU) Framework on IAS, and the Commission and the member states have to prepare an EU strategy and an effective early warning and information system. It will also consider issues such as trade, communication, education and public awareness, improved co-ordination and building partnerships, support of action at MS level, knowledge base, financing, removing of inconsistencies, and EU as an exporter of IAS. This work will be done taking into account the European Strategy on IAS, and recognising efforts made by relevant Conventions (for example, IPPC, EPPO).

---

9. An exchange system for botanic gardens for non-commercial exchange of plant material, based on the CBD. IPEN is a registration system open for botanic gardens that adopt a common policy (Code of Conduct) regarding access to genetic resources and sharing of the resulting benefits. It has been developed by the Verband Botanischer Gärten (an association of gardens in German speaking countries) and was taken over by the European Consortium of Botanic Gardens. [www.botgart.uni-bonn.de/ipen/description.html](http://www.botgart.uni-bonn.de/ipen/description.html).

A recent review of European Union member state provisions for invasive alien species (Miller et al. 2006) covers the 27 EU member states and provides a review of the existing legal and policy framework for IAS at international, EU and member state level. It identifies areas of relevance to Community competence (totally or partially) in the CBD's Guiding Principles on IAS and the European Strategy on Invasive Alien Species developed under the Bern Convention. Based on the information on the existing international, EU and national legal/policy frameworks, the report identifies gaps in the existing EU IAS framework and makes recommendations for filling such gaps. See also the section of the Code (below) "Be aware of regulation concerning invasive alien plants".

### ***Other European-level initiatives***

In addition to the directives and recommendations made by the Council of Europe, EPPO and the European Union, which apply to their member states, a number of other European initiatives address the issues of invasive species in Europe. These are summarised in Appendix 2.

### ***Relevant European national initiatives***

At a national level, a few European countries have addressed the issues of invasive alien species and horticulture and developed a strategy. For example, in Great Britain a working group<sup>10</sup> developed a code of practice for horticulture – *Helping to prevent the spread of invasive non-native species. Horticultural Code of Practice*, DEFRA<sup>11</sup> – as well as *The Invasive Non-Native Species Framework Strategy for Great Britain*<sup>12</sup> and a *Review of non-native species policy. Report of the Working Group*.

In Austria, a national action plan on invasive alien species, which supplements the national biodiversity strategy, has been endorsed by the Environment Ministry<sup>13</sup> (Essl and Rabitsch 2004) and a code of conduct has also been developed in Germany.<sup>14</sup> Also in Germany, a report on the economic impact of the spread of alien species has been published.<sup>15</sup>

---

10. Consisting of DEFRA, the Scottish Executive, the Welsh Assembly Government, Gardening Which?, the Garden Centres Association, the Horticultural Trades Association, the Royal Horticultural Society, the National Trust, the Ornamental and Aquatic Trades Association, Plantlife International, and the Royal Botanic Gardens (Kew).

11. [www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/non-native/pdf/non-nativecop.pdf](http://www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/non-native/pdf/non-nativecop.pdf).

12. *The Invasive Non-Native Species Framework Strategy for Great Britain. Protecting our natural heritage from invasive species*. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London (2007), [www.nonnativespecies.org/documents/Draft\\_StrategyV6.4.pdf](http://www.nonnativespecies.org/documents/Draft_StrategyV6.4.pdf).

13. Essl, F, Klingenstein, F, Nehring, S, Otto, C, Rabitsch, W and Stöhr, O (2008), *Schwarze Listen invasiver Arten – ein wichtiges Instrument für den Naturschutz! Natur und Landschaft*, in press.

14. Zentralverband Gartenbau (2008), *Umgang mit invasiven Arten. Empfehlungen für Gärtner, Planer und Verwender*. Zentralverband Gartenbau (Berlin), 37 S.

15. Reinhardt, F, Herle, M, Bastiansen, F and Streit, B (2003), *Economic Impact of the Spread of Alien Species in Germany*. Research Report 201 86 211 UBA-FB000441e. Environmental Research of the Federal Ministry of the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety.

For example, in Spain, an Atlas of alien invasive species has been published by the Ministry of the Environment as part of a series resulting from the National Biodiversity Inventory (Sanz Elorza et al. 2005). Many of the species listed derive from ornamental horticulture.

Some other countries (for example Ireland and Estonia) also have projects to prepare a code of conduct.

### ***Relevant non-European national initiatives***

Although this Code of Conduct is intended for use in Europe,<sup>16</sup> invasive alien species are a global problem and it is important that proper cognisance is taken of actions and initiatives in other parts of the world. Some of these are outlined below.

In countries such as Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and the USA, where invasive alien species – a majority of which are derived from ornamental horticultural introductions – constitute a major threat to biodiversity, it is not surprising that policy, structures and mechanisms are in place and an extensive literature exists.

In the United States, a workshop was held in 2001 at the Missouri Botanical Garden – “Linking Ecology and Horticulture to Prevent Plant Invasions” – the Proceedings<sup>17</sup> of which contain much information that is relevant to potential users of this Code of Conduct. One of the outputs included in the proceedings was the St Louis Voluntary Codes of Conduct, including a Code for nursery professionals (See Appendix 2). A subsequent meeting, “Linking Ecology and Horticulture to Prevent Plant Invasions II”,<sup>18</sup> was held in Chicago in 2002.

In Australia, according to a CSIRO report<sup>19</sup> for WWF-Australia, *Jumping the Garden Fence: Invasive garden plants in Australia and their environmental and agricultural impacts*, invasive garden plant species make up the vast majority of the 1 953 combined agricultural, noxious and natural ecosystem weeds. About two-thirds (1 366) of the established alien plants in the Australian environment are escaped garden plant species and they contribute substantially to the estimated \$4 billion annual costs caused by weeds in agricultural ecosystems in Australia. As an example of the vast scale of some ornamental plant invasions, the report notes that rubbervine (*Cryptostegia grandiflora*), an escaped garden plant, has been recorded across 34.6 million ha, or 20% of the state of Queensland alone. In the light of the information gathered in the report, a set of recommendations is proposed to lessen the overall impact of invasive plant species deliberately introduced for horticulture and currently available for sale (Appendix 4).

---

16. Although it is hoped that it may be applicable to neighbouring countries, especially in the Mediterranean region.

17. “Linking Ecology and Horticulture to Prevent Plant Invasions”. Proceedings of the Workshop at the Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, Missouri, 1-4 December 2001.

18. “Linking Ecology and Horticulture to Prevent Plant Invasions II”. Proceedings of the Meeting at the Chicago Botanic Garden, Chicago, Illinois, 31 October 2002. [www.centerforplantconservation.org/invasives/Download%20PDF/CBG\\_Proceedings.pdf](http://www.centerforplantconservation.org/invasives/Download%20PDF/CBG_Proceedings.pdf).

19. Groves, RH, Boden, R and Lonsdale, WM (2005).

A draft strategy for invasive garden plants was developed by the Australian government and the Nursery Industry Association of Australia: *Garden Plants Under the Spotlight: an Australian strategy for invasive garden plants* (Roush et al. 1999). Although the result of comprehensive consultation with the horticultural industry, this initiative did not apparently move forward and its attempt to voluntarily remove from sale 52 species of garden plant failed, largely because nursery associations in some individual states did not embrace the initiative (Moss and Walmsley 2005). As the CSIRO report (Groves et al. 2005) notes, many invasive garden plant species that impact on the environment and agriculture continue to be available for sale, and they represent a significant risk to the agricultural industry and Australia's environment. This should not be taken, however, as an argument against a voluntary strategy but as an indication of the need to ensure that adequate steps are taken to ensure effective participation.

In South Africa, the *Working for Water* programme<sup>20</sup> initiated in 1995 was created to eradicate invasive alien plants, through support for a variety of labour-intensive projects for clearing invasive alien plants from water catchment areas and river courses. Although initially focusing on watersheds and riparian areas, it is currently the lead focus for managing alien plants in all natural and semi-natural ecosystems in South Africa. It is administered through the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry and is now one of the world's largest programmes dealing with alien invasive species. It also has a social scope, since it works with unemployed people (Richardson and van Wilgen 2004).

### ***International initiatives***

In addition to the Convention on Biological Diversity and other treaties that provide the global mandate for handling invasive alien species, a considerable number of international initiatives exist, and these are summarised in Appendix 2.

## **6. The Code of Conduct – a voluntary instrument**

This Code of Conduct is voluntary. Its aim is to enlist the co-operation of the horticultural trade and industry, and associated professionals, in reducing and controlling possible introductions of alien invasive species into European and Mediterranean countries.

It is based on the principle of self-regulation, which some believe is likely to be more successful and effective than any legally binding scheme. Reasons that support such an approach are discussed by Burt et al. (2007): for example, the horticulture trade deals primarily in non-essential commodities, and similar or equally appealing non-invasive alternative plants can often be used as alternatives for particular invasive plants; it maintains close contact with consumers and the high public visibility of the horticulture trade increases the potential for self-regulation within this industry; many in the industry will wish to project an environmentally friendly image; and the threat of increased government regulation can act as a motivation for the adoption of self-

---

20. [www.dwaf.gov.za/wf/w/](http://www.dwaf.gov.za/wf/w/).

regulating approaches. The expectation must be that if the horticultural trade is made properly aware of the environmental and economic consequences of introducing and distributing invasive plants, it will surely wish to engage in a voluntary code aimed at avoiding such damage. The public will also have to be convinced that voluntary schemes are credible and can be made to work.

It is expected that such a voluntary code would operate alongside any legally binding instruments in force in some countries.

One of the benefits of such a code could be the development of an international standard of good practice regarding trade in alien invasive plants through the ISO (International Organization for Standardization) and the setting up of national accreditation bodies to authorise the issue of certificates of compliance with the standard. A graphic symbol could be developed to indicate compliance with the standard and be incorporated in plant labels and company letterheads and stationery.

## THE CODE OF CONDUCT

### **Audience and aims**

This Code of Conduct is addressed to governments and the horticultural industry and trade – plant importers, commercial nurseries, municipal nurseries, garden centres, aquarists – and to those who play a role in deciding what species are grown in particular areas such as landscape architects, municipal parks and gardens departments, recreation and leisure departments.

Its aim is to enlist the co-operation of the horticultural trade and industry and associated professionals to adopt good practices in (a) raising awareness on this topic among professionals, (b) preventing the spread of alien invasive species already present in Europe, and (c) preventing the introduction of possible new plant invaders into Europe.

As noted in the Introduction, the Code is voluntary and depends on there being a high level of self-regulation by the horticultural industry. The provisions of the Code are given in continuation.

An outline version of this code is being developed by EPPO at the time of writing and is directed at national plant protection organisations (available at [www.eppo.org](http://www.eppo.org)).

### **Be aware of which species are invasive in your area**

It is incumbent on all those engaged in the horticultural trade and associated professions to ascertain if the plants they are selling, storing, or envisage introducing or planting are known to be invasive in their country, in the European-Mediterranean region or anywhere in the world. When they exist, national lists of invasive species should be consulted and provided in national codes of conduct. If in doubt, professional advice should be obtained from local or national environment agencies, botanic gardens or institutes.

Many references, Internet links, books and databases provide information on which species are invasive throughout the world (see Introduction). Non-exhaustive lists are also provided for the Euro-Mediterranean area (see Appendix 5), both of invasive alien species occurring in the region, and of potentially invasive species not yet introduced in the region. Those engaged in the horticultural industry and trade should check the species they are trading or are planning to trade against these lists.

## **Know exactly what you are growing: ensure that material introduced into cultivation is correctly identified**

The correct identification of alien invasive species is a necessary requisite for any subsequent action. Those in the horticultural trade involved in the introduction or dissemination of plant material should take all possible steps to ensure that the material in question is properly identified.

Misidentifications in horticulture are frequent. A particular source of error is the Seed Lists (Indices Seminum) issued by some 600 or so botanic gardens around the world but more especially in Europe. Great care should be taken if material obtained through such Seed Lists is available as these lists often contain misidentifications or even fictitious plants (Heywood 1987; Aplin and Heywood 2008)!

Many species are found in the literature under several different names (synonyms), as a consequence of their having been described more than once or as a result of changes in taxonomy such as transfer from one genus to another. There is no simple solution to this problem but those working with invasive species (and plants in general) need to be aware of this. For example, the American *Opuntia ficus-indica*, invasive in most south European and Mediterranean countries, is sometimes referred to in recent literature and Flora as *O. maxima*. Also, *Cabomba asiatica* is quoted as a traded plant but does not exist in any Flora. The genus *Cabomba* is endemic to the western hemisphere, but *C. caroliniana*, a native of South America, is naturalised in China, India, Japan, Malaysia, the south east of the USA and parts of Australia. It is therefore hypothesised that *C. asiatica* is a wrong appellation for *C. caroliniana* (Tison, JM, pers. comm., 2007).

The literature available for plant identification is very extensive (see, for example, the sources of information for plant identifications on the website of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew).<sup>21</sup> For Europe, the following references are useful:

Tutin, TG, Heywood, VH, Burges, NA, Moore, DM, Valentine, DH, Walters, SM and Webb, DA (eds.), *Flora Europaea*, Vols. 1-5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1964-1980.

Tutin, TG, Burges, NA, Chater, AO, Edmondson, JR, Heywood, VH, Moore, DM, Valentine, DH, Walters, SM and Webb, DA (eds.), *Flora Europaea* 2nd edn., Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

European Garden Flora Editorial Committee (eds), *European Garden Flora. A Manual for the Identification of Plants Cultivated in Europe, both Out-of-Doors and under Glass*, Vols. 1-6, 1984-2000.

It is recognised that identification can, however, often be quite difficult and professional help may be necessary. In some countries identification services are

---

21. [www.kew.org/shops/listident.html](http://www.kew.org/shops/listident.html).

available, although a fee may be chargeable. Enquiries should be made at national or local botanic gardens.

Increasingly, web tools are becoming available to identify, report and map invasive alien species but no comprehensive European web identification facility is available. Some such tools are becoming available at a national level (for example, “Invasive Plants in Northern Ireland”).<sup>22</sup>

**Be aware of regulations concerning invasive alien plants**

All those engaged in the horticultural trade and associated professions should ensure that they are aware of their obligations under regulations and legislation. The main obligations under existing treaties are given below.

The Plant Health Directive 2000/29/EC (Council of the European Union 2000), the Wildlife Trade Regulations (338/97/EC and 1808/2001/EC) and the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) only apply to the 27 countries of the European Union. Many international conventions addressing issues of invasive alien species (Shine 2007) have been ratified by European and Mediterranean Countries: the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC), the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) and the Ramsar Convention.

These texts address recommendations to governments on invasive alien plants (CBD and IPPC), as well as on wildlife (CITES,<sup>23</sup> Ramsar Convention<sup>24</sup>). These recommendations may be implemented in the European Union or in national legislation (of countries that ratified these treaties) and lead to the regulation of import and exports of plants and plant products, inspections, phytosanitary measures, possession, trade and release in the wild of invasive alien plants and quarantine pests. These regulations may therefore impact on the everyday work of nursery industries.

Of the international treaties, only the CBD provides direct recommendations to the nursery industry (see below), but the obligation is on individual governments to ensure implementation of such recommendations.

---

22. [www.habitas.org.uk/invasive/index.html](http://www.habitas.org.uk/invasive/index.html).

23. See CITES Conf. 13.10 (Rev. CoP14) Trade in alien invasive species, [www.cites.org/eng/res/13/13-10R14.shtml](http://www.cites.org/eng/res/13/13-10R14.shtml).

24. See Ramsar Resolutions VII.14 and VIII.18 on invasive species and wetlands. [www.ramsar.org/res/key\\_res\\_vii\\_14\\_e.htm](http://www.ramsar.org/res/key_res_vii_14_e.htm); [www.ramsar.org/res/key\\_res\\_viii\\_18\\_e.htm](http://www.ramsar.org/res/key_res_viii_18_e.htm).

## **International**

### ***Obligations concerning importation that affect the horticultural nursery under the CBD***

Article 8(h) of the CBD states: “Each Contracting Party shall, as far as possible and as appropriate prevent the introduction of, control or eradicate those alien species which threaten ecosystems, habitats or species.”

In 2002, the CBD issued Guiding Principles on the implementation of Article 8(h). Of particular interest for the nursery industry is Guiding Principle 10 on intentional introduction, which states that the first or subsequent intentional introduction of an alien species known to be invasive or potentially invasive within a country should be subject to prior authorisation from a competent authority of the recipient state(s). This means that an appropriate risk analysis (including an environmental impact assessment) should be conducted by national authorities. For more details about risk analysis, please refer to the paragraph “Plant introductions and Pest Risk Analysis”.

Nevertheless, Guiding Principle 10 also states that the burden of proof that a proposed introduction is unlikely to threaten biological diversity should be with the **proposer of the introduction** (that is, the nursery exporting). In practice, risk analyses are performed by national authorities, but information on the species to introduce may be requested to the introducer. While introducing a new plant, the introducer should be able to provide information on the likely non-invasiveness of the species.

### ***Recommendation by the CBD concerning possession and trade of IAS for the horticultural nursery***

Decision VIII/27 (COP 8 2006) of the Conference of the Parties of the CBD held in Brazil in 2006 encourages industry, trade and shipment organisations to raise awareness with consumers, including through Internet sites that facilitate transactions or may otherwise be visited by consumers, and to further study, as appropriate, current safe disposal measures for imported alien species.

### ***Plant Health: Directive 2000/29***

The aim of the Community Plant Health regime is to prevent the introduction into the community of organisms harmful to plants or plant products or their spread within the Community. Council Directive 2000/29/EC (Council of the European Union 2000) regulates the import of plants and plant products but also the movement within Member States of certain plants, plant products and other objects which are potential carriers of harmful organisms of relevance for the entire community (listed in Part A of Annex V to the said Directive). These plants, plant products and other objects have in general a high economic importance. They are subject to specific conditions governing the control of their production that include inspections at the place of production at the most appropriate time, that is, during the growing season and immediately after harvest. Therefore, any producers of the material listed in Part A of Annex V must be listed in an official register. The plants, plant products and other objects are also to be accompanied

by a plant passport when moved. This document gives evidence that the material has successfully undergone the Community checking system. It replaces the phytosanitary certificate, used for trade between Member States before the establishment of the Single Market.

Nursery professionals from, or exporting to, European countries will be familiar with this Directive. As an example, it ensures that stocks of apples, pears and other rosaceous plants are free from fire blight (*Erwinia amylovora*).

### ***Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC***

Individuals in general should be aware that under the Habitats Directive, deliberate introduction into the wild of non-native species is regulated or prohibited, so as not to prejudice natural habitats or the wild native fauna and flora.

### **National obligations for the horticultural nursery**

At the national level, some countries have legislation and/or regulations aimed at preventing possession, transport, trade or release in the wild of specific invasive alien plants.

Information may be found either from national plant protection organisations (that is, Ministries of Agriculture) or from Ministries of Environment in individual countries.

For instance, in 1999, specific legislation (Decreto-Lei 565/99) was prepared in Portugal to address invasive alien plants.<sup>25</sup> A list of introduced invasive alien plants has been established and it is prohibited to introduce any new plant species unless it has been shown not to be harmful (with a few exceptions granted for forestry and agricultural purposes). Penalties will be applied to those using any listed invasive species. It is planned that the list will be regularly updated. The implementation of these new regulations will imply inspections of the horticultural sector (for example, nurserymen, landscape designers, gardeners).

The following species are listed as invasive by this law and cultivation, detention in a confined place, use as an ornamental plant, release, sale, exchange and transport are prohibited in order to prevent their additional introduction into the wild: *Acacia cyanophylla*, *Acacia dealbata*, *Acacia karroo*, *Acacia longifolia*, *Acacia mearnsii*, *Acacia melanoxylon*, *Acacia pycnantha*, *Acacia retinodes*, *Ailanthus altissima*, *Arctotheca calendula*, *Arund donax*, *Azolla caroliniana*, *Azolla filiculoides*, *Carpobrotus edulis*, *Conyza bonariensis*, *Cortaderia selloana*, *Datura stramonium*, *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Elodea canadensis*, *Erigeron karvinskianus*, *Eryngium pandanifolium*, *Galinsoga parviflora*, *Hakea sericea*, *Hakea salicifolia*, *Ipomoea acuminata*, *Myriophyllum aquaticum*, *Myriophyllum brasiliensis*, *Oxalis pes-caprae*, *Pittosporum undulatum*, *Robinia pseudoacacia*, *Senecio bicolor*, *Spartina densiflora* and *Tradescantia fluminensis*.

---

25. [www.diramb.gov.pt/data/basedoc/TXT\\_LN\\_21196\\_1\\_0001.htm](http://www.diramb.gov.pt/data/basedoc/TXT_LN_21196_1_0001.htm).

Moreover, the following species are considered as an ecological threat, and cultivation, sale, exchange, transport, cultivation and detention in a confined place are prohibited so as to prevent their introduction in the wild: *Acacia farnesiana*, *Alternanthera caracasana*, *Alternanthera herapungensis*, *Alternanthera nodiflora*, *Alternanthera philoxeroides*, *Azolla* spp., *Hydrilla verticillata*, *Impatiens glandulifera*, *Ludwigia peploides*, *Ludwigia uruguayensis*, *Pistia stratiotes*, *Pueraria lobata*, *Reynoutria japonica*, *Sagittaria latifolia* and *Senecio inaequidens*.

Another example is Schedule 9 of the UK Countryside and Wildlife Act 1981, which lists plants that cannot be planted or caused to grow in the wild. It was updated for Scotland on 30 June 2005 and now includes nine invasive aquatic plants and four terrestrial plants: *Allium paradoxum*, *Azolla filliculoides*, *Cabomba caroliniana*, *Carpobrotus edulis*, *Crassula helmsii*, *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Gaultheria shallon*, *Hydrocotyle ranunculoides*, *Lagarosiphon major*, *Myriophyllum aquaticum*, *Pistia stratiotes*, *Robinia pseudoacacia* and *Salvinia molesta*.

### ***Plant introductions and pest risk analysis***

In addition to the alien plants already known as invasive in Europe, many others are present and have the potential to become invasive in the future. There is usually a lag phase before a species becomes invasive, estimated to last on average 147 years, 170 for trees and 131 for shrubs (Kowarik 1995). Much shorter lag phases have been reported in some species, especially herbaceous plants such as *Eupatorium adenophorum* which spread rapidly throughout the south and middle subtropical zones in Yunnan, Guizhou, Sichuan, and Guangxi, China after a lag phase of 20 years (1940-60).<sup>26</sup> Preventing the introduction of invasive alien species instead of managing them once they have adverse impacts is more effective, both from economic and ecological points of view (see introduction for information of costs of IAP).

A process to identify species that are likely to present the greatest risk for the European and Mediterranean region has been initiated within the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization. A list of invasive alien plants has been established and a prioritisation process is being developed in order to select the species which should be given priority for risk assessment. Nevertheless, there is no systematic process in place in countries to evaluate plants prior to introducing and marketing them.

### ***Risk assessment of plants***

Risk is assessed on the basis of biological, scientific and economic information organised in a logical sequence called pest risk analysis (PRA). Risk assessment performed by the relevant bodies should preferably be undertaken following the International Standard on Phytosanitary Measures No. 11 on *Pest risk analysis for quarantine pests including analysis of environmental risks and living modified organisms* as adapted by the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization

---

26. Rui Wang, Wang, Y-Z, Invasion dynamics and potential spread of the invasive alien plant species *Ageratina adenophora* (Asteraceae) in China. *Diversity and Distributions* 12: 397-408 (2006).

in the form of a decision scheme (EPPO 1997). The information required and assessed is: preferred habitats, climatic, soil and water requirements, life history of the plant, natural or human-assisted spread, reproduction, intended use, ease of detection of the plant, persistence, competitiveness, possibility to be controlled, and economic, ecological and social impacts.

If the plant assessed is determined by PRA not to present a significant risk, the plant may be imported and no measures are needed.

If the plant assessed is determined by PRA to present a significant risk, the plant may be:

- prohibited from import if it is not yet imported and/or not established in the area considered (it implies that species present in gardens but not naturalised in the wild could be of concern: see definitions in Appendix 1);
- subject to the following national management measures if the plant is already imported and/or established in the area concerned: publicity, labelling of plants, surveillance, control plan, restriction on sale, on holding, on movement, on planting, obligation to report new findings, emergency plan (EPPO PM 3/67).

Some national risk assessment methodologies and “black lists” of IAS have been recently developed in Europe, for example Switzerland (Weber et al. 2005), United Kingdom (Copp et al. 2005), Germany and Austria (Essl et al. 2008).

Other examples of non-European rapid risk assessments include initiatives in North-America (Reichard and Hamilton 1997) and in Australia with the Weed Risk Assessment System (Australian Government, undated). In Western Australia, importers have to file an application for assessment of new plants they wish to import (Department of Agriculture and Food, undated). The Department of Agriculture performs the risk assessment and allows or prohibits the import(s). A detailed study on PRA of introduced woody species with different invasive status into the Czech Republic was made by Křivánek and Pyšek (2006). The analysis was based on three PRA systems and 180 species. The study revealed that for woody plants species in the central European region, the best system should be based on updated Weed Risk Assessment.

### ***What the industry could do***

For each new plant introduced which has not already been evaluated (see [www.eppo.org](http://www.eppo.org)), those introducing the plant or trading them are encouraged to run the “pest categorization part” of the EPPO PRA<sup>27</sup> scheme (EPPO Standard PM5/3, 1997) consisting of a few questions.

Suggestions for screening plant species for potential invasiveness by horticulturists are given by Reichard (2000). For a very quick preliminary assessment, a useful criterion is the plant’s invasive behaviour in other parts of the world, especially those with similar climatic features. The Global Compendium of Weeds (Randall 2002) is a valuable

---

27. [http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PM5\\_PRA/PRA\\_scheme\\_2007.doc](http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PM5_PRA/PRA_scheme_2007.doc).

source of information. Monitoring of new species at the nursery site to check their behaviour prior to further distribution may also give additional insights.

It is recommended that if there is any indication that the plant may present invasive characteristics, contact should be made with the relevant bodies in charge of invasive alien plants.

### **Work in co-operation with other stakeholders, both in the trade and the conservation and plant protection sectors**

Preventing the spread of alien invasive species that are already in cultivation should be possible and the horticultural industry and nursery trade should be prepared to co-operate with the authorities in achieving this. On the other hand, the prevention or avoidance of the introduction of invasive plants into cultivation through the horticulture pathway is a complex process involving many actors both within and outside the trade. To be effective, those implementing this Code should endeavour to enter into co-operative arrangements or at least engage with others engaged in the control of invasive plants, notably in the conservation and plant protection sectors such as local environment and conservation agencies, societies and associations, botanic gardens and universities. The trade and all those engaged in the supply chain should be encouraged to adhere to this code of conduct.

Concretely, these agreements between the government and the nursery industry (either individual producers or traders, or consortia) could take the following form:

- a label or a charter, similar to the Fair Flowers Fair Plants (FFP initiative), supported by the European Community and the Horticultural Commodity Board, which is aimed at stimulating the production and sales of flowers and plants cultivated in a sustainable manner;<sup>28</sup>
- mandatory labelling of plants;
- ISO certification, or environmentally friendly code of conduct.

### **Agree which plant species are a threat and cease to stock them or make them available**

Once it has been determined which plant species represent a threat, locally or nationally, nurseries, garden centres and other suppliers of plants should agree voluntarily to destroy

---

28. See [www.fairflowersfairplants.com/home-en.aspx](http://www.fairflowersfairplants.com/home-en.aspx).

existing stocks and no longer make them available for purchase, or at least should provide advice on proper use and disposal.

As an example of co-operation between stakeholders, in the north of France, the Conservatoire Botanique National of Bailleul, with state and regional support, has recently established a charter (charte d'engagement) with plant retailers on a voluntary basis. Under the charter, retailers voluntarily committed themselves to withdraw from sale, within six months, the following species which are highly invasive in the Picardy region:

- *Ailanthus altissima* (Simaroubaceae);
- *Azolla filiculoides* (Azollaceae);
- *Crassula helmsii* (Crassulaceae);
- *Fallopia (Reynoutria) japonica* / *F. sacchalinensis* and *F. × bohemica* (Polygonaceae);
- *Heracleum mantegazzianum* (Apiaceae);
- *Hydrocotyle ranunculoides* (Apiaceae);
- *Ludwigia grandiflora* / *L. peploides* (Onagraceae);
- *Myriophyllum aquaticum* (Haloragaceae);
- *Prunus serotina* (Rosaceae).

As part of its policy statement on invasive non-native species, the Royal Horticultural Society<sup>29</sup> (United Kingdom) does not stock the following invasive alien species in its plant centres: *Impatiens glandulifera* (Balsaminaceae), *Heracleum mantegazzianum* (Apiaceae), *Fallopia japonica* (Polygonaceae), *Azolla filiculoides* (Azollaceae), *Crassula helmsii* (Crassulaceae), *Myriophyllum aquaticum* (Holaragaceae) and *Hydrocotyle ranunculoides* (Apiaceae). Since 2004, the Royal Horticultural Society has operated a policy of prohibiting exhibitors from selling or showing these plants at their shows.

<b>Avoid using invasive or potentially alien plants in large-scale public plantings</b>
---

Municipal Parks and Gardens Departments and Recreation and Leisure Departments often introduce new species in cities and plant them on a large scale. In co-operation with conservation authorities, they should draw up lists of invasive alien species which should not be used in plantings and such lists should be taken into account in official urbanisation and construction planning documents. Such an initiative has been undertaken in Sète (France) by the Municipal Services.

---

29. The Royal Horticultural Society – Invasive non-native species, RHS policy statement [www.rhs.org.uk/NR/t donlyres/B2FD1670-B413-4B9B-AB07-B4B2580B7DE6/0/c\\_and\\_e\\_nonnative.pdf](http://www.rhs.org.uk/NR/t donlyres/B2FD1670-B413-4B9B-AB07-B4B2580B7DE6/0/c_and_e_nonnative.pdf).

## Adopt good labelling practices

This section contains guidance for all those involved in the supply or retail of plants (nurseries, superstores, garden centres, aquarists).

All species on sale should be clearly and correctly labelled with the correct scientific name (see section “Know exactly what you are growing and stocking: ensure that material introduced into cultivation is correctly identified”) – genus and species and where appropriate variety or cultivar – as well as the common name so as to avoid confusion. It is advisable to provide the family name as well. For example, *Zantedeschia aethiopica* is invasive in Western Australia and a dwarf form on sale in the nursery trade has the same invasive potential. This dwarf form should be labelled *Zantedeschia aethiopica* “Childsiana”, instead of *Zantedeschia childiana* – dwarf white calla lily (Martin *et al.* 2005), the latter name being incorrect and misleading for the consumer.

For potentially invasive alien species that are traded (cf. species listed in Appendix 4), additional information should be given:

- origin of the plant, its ability to escape from the garden and the countries where it is reported as invasive;
- indication of the invasive behaviour of the plant may include growth rate, reproduction ability, and habitats invaded (certain habitats are more vulnerable, such as riparian ones and dune ecosystems);
- recommendations for managing the plant may also be provided, for example “Cut stems after flowering” or “Do not plant near riverbanks” (see section “Engage in publicity and outreach activities”).

Examples of labelling could be:

*Rosa rugosa* (Rosaceae)  
Rugosa rose, Hedgehog rose

Native to Eastern Asia, invasive in Northern and Central Europe.

Make sure it does not escape from gardens.

Do not plant in or near dunes, where it threatens other species of plants as well as some animals (for example, butterflies) and modifies the habitat.

*Cabomba caroliniana* (Cabombaceae)  
Fish grass, Carolina fanwort

Native to South America, invasive in Australia and Europe where it outcompetes native plants.

Only use in aquariums; do not use outdoors. Do not dispose any aquarium wastes into ponds or watercourses.

Labelling is being conducted in the Picardy region of northern France by the Conservatoire Botanique National of Bailleul as part of a voluntary charter with plant retailers for the following species: *Baccharis halimifolia* (Asteraceae), *Buddleja davidii* (Buddlejaceae), *Cortaderia selloana* (Poaceae), *Egeria densa* (Hydrocharitaceae), *Elodea canadensis* (Hydrocharitaceae), *Elodea nuttallii* (Hydrocharitaceae), *Impatiens glandulifera* (Balsaminaceae), *Lagarosiphon major* (Hydrocharitaceae), *Mahonia aquifolium* (Berberidaceae), *Robinia pseudoacacia* (Fabaceae) and *Rosa rugosa* (Rosaceae).

Another positive and educational initiative on labelling consists of withdrawing the plant from production and indicating this in the nursery catalogue. For instance, a horticulturist in the South of France (Pépinières Filippi 2007) indicates for *Baccharis halimifolia*: “We do not grow this plant any more as it can become invasive in certain places and outcompete native flora. As a substitute, we suggest the use of *Atriplex halimus* or *Limoniastrum monopetalum*.”

## **Make substitutes for invasives available**

Nurseries and garden centres should consider suggesting and offering substitutes for invasive alien species that are no longer sold. These may be native species or other exotic but non-invasive species. This not only helps avoid damage to agriculture and the environment but also allows the nursery industry to offer an innovative and green image to their consumers.

Some suggestions for alternative species exist (see Appendix 6). It should be borne in mind that just as invasive alien plants show aggressive behaviour under certain conditions (soil, temperature, rainfall, habitats, etc.) and in some areas only, so alternative species may have the potential to become invasive. Substitute species recommended for one country should not be assumed to be suitable for another country.

Professionals and trade associations may also consider developing and promoting alternative plant material and sterile cultivars through plant selection and breeding. Care should be taken to ensure that the species proposed are effectively non-invasive. For example, in the south of France, the hybrid *Buddleja* “Lochinch”, whose parents originate in China (*B. davidii* × *B. fallowiana*), has been proposed as an alternative plant to the highly invasive *Buddleja davidii*, since it is considered to be sterile. Nevertheless, a horticulturist reported that the plant reproduces abundantly by seeds in his nursery and shows invasive characteristics.

In selecting substitutes, advice should be sought from trade associations, conservation professionals, other growers or retailers and state authorities if needed. While looking for suitable alternative non-invasive plants, the characteristics of the traded invasive alien plant and its attractiveness to the consumer should be determined. Alternative species should have similar characteristics to the invasive alien plant they replace (Baxter et al. 2002).

## **Be careful how you get rid of plant waste and dispose of unwanted stock of plants and plant-containing waste**

Discarded garden waste, compost heaps, packaging materials, waste water (in the case of aquatic plants) are well known pathways for the escape of garden plants into nature. Compost heaps often contain viable seed and other propagules. To avoid such unintentional introductions and their possible spread, strict procedures should be employed to mitigate the risk.

The EPPO *Guidelines for the management of plant health risks of biowaste of plant origin*<sup>30</sup> (EPPO PM 3/66(1) 2006) should be followed where appropriate. The standard describes:

- requirements for the treatment process to ensure phytosanitary safety of treated biowaste;
- special requirements for biowaste that may contain quarantine pests or heat-resistant pests;
- supervision, test procedures and validation methods to ensure that the treatment process and final product comply with plant health requirements;
- documentation and labelling requirements during production and exchange of treated biowaste.

National regulations for the safe and effective disposal of waste should also be followed. For example, in Britain, Japanese knotweed (*Fallopia japonica*) is classed as “controlled waste” under the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and is subject to strict regulations. There is also an Environment Agency Code of Practice for its management and destruction and the disposal of polluted material containing it.

Plant waste should never be dumped in the countryside or in places where it might escape into the wild. It may be taken to officially approved municipal recycling sites but if there is any suspected risk of the waste or compost containing material of invasive plants, it should be treated, in accordance with national regulations, on site, taken to approved sites for disposal, or disposed of through specialised contractors.

### *Terrestrial plants*

While decomposition by composting of nursery or garden waste has many advantages, it does not effectively destroy some invasive plants or their seeds (such as *Fallopia japonica* noted above). An alternative is burning or incineration and again any national or local regulations should be followed.

---

30. [www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-2338.2006.01022.x](http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-2338.2006.01022.x).

### *Aquatic plants*

Aquatic plants pose special problems and great care should be taken to avoid their getting into rivers, waterways or seas. The killer alga *Caulerpa taxifolia*, handsome tropical seaweed, is an example of an escaped ornamental aquarium species that has escaped from cultivation as an ornamental and is now a serious threat to the native marine fauna and flora in the northern Mediterranean. Cuttings were obtained by the Oceanographic Museum in Monaco and were later found in the sea beneath the building, probably having escaped via a flow-through holding tank system that allowed fragments to pass into the sea.

Various methods are available for the disposal of aquatic plants such as composting and burying, drying or freeze drying and subsequent safe disposal. Disposal of the packaging of aquatic species is also a major concern, especially as it may house “hitchhikers” including spores, parasites or other “hidden” species which may be found in the tissues of the specimens, on surfaces of their packaging or in the holding water or sediments. If not handled properly, there is a risk of the hitchhikers escaping. A useful guide and protocols for the handling and disposal of non-native aquatic species and their packaging are provided by the Washington Sea Grant Program (Olson et al. 2000). The Ornamental Aquatic Trade Association (OATA) provides advice on composting plants removed from ponds on the back of a leaflet entitled “Keep your pond plants in the garden!!”.<sup>31</sup>

## **Adopt good production practices to avoid unintentional introduction and spread**

Great care should be taken to prevent contamination by invasive alien plants. Apart from the damage they cause, they may well result in high additional management costs for the nursery. Furthermore, if invasive alien plants contaminate a nursery or other plant-growing area, every precaution should be taken so as to prevent unintentional spread of the contaminants. This section contains guidance for all those in the horticultural industry and nursery trade involved in growing plants.

A nursery may become contaminated by seeds that persist in the soil (soil seed bank) or by vegetative propagules of invasive alien plants that come from:

- the imported growing medium attached to, or associated with, rooted plants for planting whose roots may be contaminated with seeds of vegetative propagules of invasive alien plants. Imported aquatic plants may also be contaminated by vegetative fragments of other aquatic invasive alien plants (for example, *Azolla filiculoides*, which has tiny fronds that can be found clinging to recently harvested plants for sale);

---

31. [www.ornamentalfish.org/aquanautconservation/invasiveplants.php](http://www.ornamentalfish.org/aquanautconservation/invasiveplants.php).

- an invasive alien plant or quarantine pest colonising the nursery from surrounding fields or entrant water and growing media.

The following recommendations provide guidance to avoid involuntary introduction and spread of invasive alien plants and quarantine pests in a nursery while importing plants or plant products.

#### *Newly imported plants*

Adopt the good practice of keeping imported plant material isolated from locally produced plants and from those growing in the wild.

#### *Use of soil and growing media* (see EPPO PM3/54 1993<sup>32</sup>)

Imported topsoil should be free of all viable propagules of invasive alien plants and other pests. Topsoil should be inspected on delivery and a representative sample should be requested before purchase, as well as guidance on the source.

To prevent contamination of growing media:

- the growing media shall be free from invasive alien plants and other pests, which could be achieved:
  - by using inorganic growing media;
  - by treating organic growing media to kill the contaminants (for example, chemical disinfestations or steam sterilisation);
  - by inspecting or testing the growing media for particular pests, by various methods (for pests other than invasive alien plants) (for example for flatworms see EPPO PM1/4(1) 2000<sup>33</sup> “*Nursery inspection, exclusion and treatment for Arthurdendyus triangulates*”);
- the plants must be grown at all stages in the growing media of the quality specified above, or grown in such a way that they cannot become infested. Thus, growing media should not have a production link with other potentially infested growing media. This can be avoided by:
  - growing the plants in pots separated from the soil surface; the separation can be established by a cover on the soil (for example, plastic), and the open side of the pots shall be screened to prevent infestation (by water splashes for instance, as for the pathogen *Phytophthora ramorum*, by wind dispersed seeds of the plant *Cortaderia selloana*);
  - not contaminating non-infested growing medium with water carrying contaminants.

Moreover, movement of soil should be avoided from places where invasive plants such as *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*, *Solanum elaeagnifolium*, *Heracleum mantegazzianum*,

---

32. [http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PM3\\_PROCEDURES/pm3-54-e.doc](http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PM3_PROCEDURES/pm3-54-e.doc).

33. [http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PM1\\_GENERAL/pm1-04-e.doc](http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PM1_GENERAL/pm1-04-e.doc).

*Fallopia japonica*, etc. are present, either within the nursery or in soil coming from outside the nursery.

#### *Use of machinery, tools and equipments*

Machinery, tools and other equipment should only be used, either for non-infested or potentially infested growing media, with proper disinfection or cleaning.

Storage of soil and growing media, pots and supplies should be isolated from potential contamination.

#### *Human activities in the nursery*

Operators should be careful not to carry contaminants on footwear, gloves, etc. and appropriate training and instruction of staff should be given.

#### *Packaging and containers*

Packaging is recognised as being a pathway for import and export of invasive plants. It is therefore good practice:

- to ensure that packaging material to be used to send plants isolated from produced plants and from those growing in the wild is kept clean;
- to destroy or clean imported packaging material.

#### *Production of aquatic plants*

During the production of aquatic plants for ponds or for aquaria, great care should be taken to ensure that these species cannot escape from growing tanks or closed ponds into the wild.

Since traded aquatic plants have been shown to be contaminated by other aquatic plants (EPPO RSE No. 1 2007), the following precautions are suggested:

- avoid mixing of potentially invasive plants with non-invasive plants in growing tanks;
- rinse plants at high pressure before packaging them;
- remove soil from aquatic plants.

## **Engage in publicity and outreach activities**

It is important to engage the public. It is the public who inadvertently demand the plant introductions which may turn out to be invasive, so messages about the significance of invasive plants and the damage that they can cause need to be targeted at them. At the same time, they are also a powerful force in supporting actions to identify and control

such invasions. For instance, they could take a lead in encouraging the appropriate disposal of plant waste and pointing out the serious consequences of fly tipping such waste. The horticultural industry needs to work with the public as well as with conservation and protection agencies.

Agreed lists of invasive alien species that represent a threat and their substitutes should be publicised and information about them in the form of posters, leaflets and brochures should be displayed or made available in nurseries and garden centres, aquaria and other outlets such as supermarkets, stores, service stations and suppliers through the Internet. Nursery catalogues should indicate which species are invasive and also contain warnings about them and the broader issues of plant invaders, as well as indications on substitutes (see sections “**Adopt good labelling practices**” and “**Make substitutes for invasives available**”). Likewise, indications should be given on seed packets about species that are invasive and the risks that sowing them pose.

Various initiatives promoting substitutes exist, for example “Plantes envahissantes de la région Méditerranéenne” in the south of France,<sup>34</sup> the Plantlife alternative plants for ponds for the UK,<sup>35</sup> “Don’t plant a pest” in California,<sup>36</sup> “Garden Wise” in Washington State,<sup>37</sup> (see Appendix 6).

Numerous brochures, leaflets and posters on the risks posed by alien invasive species have been produced. The Ornamental Aquatic Trade Association (OATA) has issued a poster “Keep your pond plants in the garden!!”.<sup>38</sup> An example of a website dedicated to invasive species and horticulture is that of PlantRight,<sup>39</sup> a voluntary, proactive programme for the horticultural community to prevent invasive plant introductions through horticulture, designed by the steering committee of the California Horticultural Invasives Prevention (Cal-HIP) partnership to communicate the need to move away from invasive plants in the gardening and landscaping trade. The Global Invasive Species Programme (GISP) has recently issued posters on the threats caused by invasive alien species.<sup>40</sup>

The Nature Conservancy (TNC) in the USA runs “Invasive Species Learning Networks”<sup>41</sup> which bring together Conservancy staff, agency partners, and scientific experts in a series of facilitated, progressive workshops focused on abating the threats that invasive species pose for conservation objectives.

---

34. [www.ame-lr.org/plantesenvahissantes/](http://www.ame-lr.org/plantesenvahissantes/).

35. [www.plantlife.org.uk/uk/plantlife-campaigning-change-invasive-plants.html](http://www.plantlife.org.uk/uk/plantlife-campaigning-change-invasive-plants.html).

36. [www.cal-ipc.org/shop/index.php#brochures](http://www.cal-ipc.org/shop/index.php#brochures).

37. [www.invasivespeciescoalition.org/GardenPlants/WISCFINALweb.pdf](http://www.invasivespeciescoalition.org/GardenPlants/WISCFINALweb.pdf).

38. [www.ornamentalfish.org/aquanautconservation/invasiveplants.php](http://www.ornamentalfish.org/aquanautconservation/invasiveplants.php).

39. [www.plantright.org/](http://www.plantright.org/).

40. [www.gisp.org/publications/Brochures/index.asp](http://www.gisp.org/publications/Brochures/index.asp).

41. <http://tncweeds.ucdavis.edu/products.html>.

The “Other Resources” page of the Global Invasive Species Initiative (GISI)<sup>42</sup> lists a diversity of resources including leaflets, lists, websites and other resources on invasive alien plant species.

## **Take into account the increased risks of alien plant invasions due to global change**

It is generally accepted that altered climate patterns will have appreciable effects on the spread of invasive alien species although precise local details are far from clear and will differ from region to region. The Mediterranean region is predicted to be one of the areas likely to suffer most from climate change while western and northern Europe may expect higher summer temperatures and wetter and cloudier winters.

The implications for the horticultural industry and the nursery trade are still being worked out. Some are likely to be beneficial while others will probably be negative. Climate change is likely to put increasing pressure on the industry and is likely to affect production, selection of species grown, fuel and water efficiency and competition. It is clear that the profession will need to adapt to climate change, especially rising temperatures, by developing both pre-emptive and reactive adaptation strategies or options.

The report *Gardening in the Global Greenhouse*<sup>43</sup> is one of the few documents to describe in detail the impacts of climate change on gardens and gardening and, although dealing only with the United Kingdom, is relevant to other parts of Europe. It indicates that climate change will have impacts on many components of the garden and addresses in particular potential impacts on:

- soils, water supplies and water bodies;
- trees, shrubs, sub-shrubs, herbaceous perennials, bulbs and annuals;
- lawns;
- paths, buildings and other structures;
- garden staff.

It also details the various ways climate change will affect plant growth.

The “Trees in a Changing Climate” conference,<sup>44</sup> held at the University of Surrey in Guildford in June 2005, considered the effects of climate change on the UK’s trees in the

---

42. <http://tncweeds.ucdavis.edu/horticulture/resources.html>.

43. Bisgrove, R and Hadley, P *Gardening in the Global Greenhouse*. The impacts of climate change on gardens in the UK. Technical Report. The UK Climate Impacts Programme, Oxford (2002).

44. [www.rhs.org.uk/research/climate\\_change/trees\\_conference.asp](http://www.rhs.org.uk/research/climate_change/trees_conference.asp).

21st century and the serious implications for tree survival, species choice and cultivation in our woodlands, parks and gardens. It covered the implications of and adaptations to climate change as regards species choice and timber production, and nature conservation and biodiversity.

There is a strong likelihood that there will be a growing demand by the public for species that may be suited to the new climatic envelopes that are predicted. Indirect effects of climate change, such as water shortages, will have a serious impact on gardening and on types of planting. An increasing demand for drought-resistant plants such as cacti and succulents may be expected. Higher temperatures will increase the range of species that can be grown in some European countries while in others this will cause stress and restrict the growth of many species. Flowering and fruiting times of some species will be affected and there will be a need for new cultivars that are suited to the new conditions. The selection of trees grown will change and this in turn will have significant landscape effects. In some parts of Europe, plants that are today grown with difficulty and therefore not likely to escape from cultivation will flourish and may become invasive.

Other elements of global change such as changes in disturbance regimes (such as hurricanes, fire regimes, agricultural intensification, etc.), increased risk from fire, and population movements will affect both Europe's ecosystems and individual species and increase the risks of alien plant invasions. Examples are increasing urbanisation, increased mobility across frontiers, population movement from the country to the towns, increase in environmental refugees as a result of climatic disasters or wars, abandonment of terracing and loss of traditional agricultural practices. The altered conditions caused by global change will provide the nursery trade with new challenges but also new opportunities.

## References

- Abbott, RJ, James, JK, Irwin, JA and Comes, HP (2000), Hybrid origin of the Oxford Ragwort, *Senecio squalidus* L. *Watsonia* 23: 123-38.
- Aplin, DM and Heywood, VH (2008) Do Seed Lists have a future? *Taxon* 57: 1-3.
- Australian Government – Biosecurity Australia (Undated), The Weed Risk Assessment System, [www.daff.gov.au/ba/reviews/weeds/system](http://www.daff.gov.au/ba/reviews/weeds/system).
- Baxter, B, Dowdell, J, Havens, K, Randall, JM, Raven, PH, Regelbrugge, C, Reichard, S and White, PS (2002), *Linking Ecology and Horticulture to prevent plant invasions* II. Proceedings of the meeting at the Chicago Botanic Garden, Chicago, Illinois, 31 October 2002. [www.centerforplantconservation.org/invasives/Download%20PDF/CBG\\_Proceedings.pdf](http://www.centerforplantconservation.org/invasives/Download%20PDF/CBG_Proceedings.pdf).
- Buord, S and Lesouëf, JY (2006), Consolidating knowledge on plant species in need for urgent attention at European level. Centre thématique Européen pour la Protection de la nature et de la Biodiversité. Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. European Environmental Agency.

- Burt, JW, Muir, AA, Piovia-Scott, J, Veblen, KE, Chang, AL, Grossman, JD and Weiskel, HW (2007), Preventing horticultural introductions of invasive plants: potential efficacy of voluntary initiatives. *Biol. Invasions* DOI 10.1007/s10530-007-9090-4.
- Colautti, RL and MacIsaac, HJ (2004), A neutral terminology to define “invasive” species. *Diversity and Distributions* 10: 135-41.
- Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) website, [www.cbd.int/](http://www.cbd.int/).
- CBD (2002), Convention on Biological Diversity. COP Decision VI/23 (2002): Alien species that threaten ecosystems, habitats or species to which is annexed Guiding principles for the prevention, introduction and mitigation of impacts of alien species that threaten ecosystems, habitats or species (available at [www.cbd.int/](http://www.cbd.int/)).
- CBD (2006), Convention on Biological Diversity. COP 8 Decision VIII/27 (2006) Alien species that threaten ecosystems, habitats or species (Article 8(h)): further consideration of gaps and inconsistencies in the international regulatory framework, [www.cbd.int/decisions/cop-08.shtml?m=COP-08&id=11041&lg=0](http://www.cbd.int/decisions/cop-08.shtml?m=COP-08&id=11041&lg=0).
- Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) website, [www.cites.org/](http://www.cites.org/).
- Copp, GH, Garthwaite, R and Gozlan, RE (2005), Risk identification and assessment of non-native freshwater fishes: concepts and perspectives for the UK. CEFAS, science series technical report 129, 32.
- Decreto-Lei 565/99 (Invasive plants in Portugal). Diário da República – I Série A, no. 295, 1999-12-21, [www.uc.pt/invasoras/dec\\_lei\\_invasoras.pdf](http://www.uc.pt/invasoras/dec_lei_invasoras.pdf).
- Dehnen-Schmutz, K, Perrings, C and Williamson, M (2004), Controlling *Rhododendron ponticum* in the British Isles: an economic analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management* 70: 323-32.
- Dehnen-Schmutz, K, Touza, A, Perrings, C and Williamson, M (2007), The horticultural trade and ornamental plant invasions in Britain. *Conservation Biology* 21: 224-31.
- Department of Agriculture and Food – Government of Western Australia (Undated) Proposed introduction of plant species to Western Australia, [www.agric.wa.gov.au/content/pw/q/species\\_assessment\\_request.pdf](http://www.agric.wa.gov.au/content/pw/q/species_assessment_request.pdf).
- EPPO Standard PM 5/3 (2) (1997), Decision-support scheme for quarantine pests. (available at [www.eppo.org](http://www.eppo.org)).
- EPPO Standard PM 3/66(1) (2006) Guidelines for the management of plant health risks of biowaste of plant origin. *OEPP/EPPO Bulletin* 36: 353-58, [www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-2338.2006.01022.x](http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-2338.2006.01022.x).
- EPPO Standard PM 3/54(1) (1993), *Growing plants in growing medium prior to export*, [http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PM3\\_PROCEDURES/pm3-54-e.doc](http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PM3_PROCEDURES/pm3-54-e.doc).
- EPPO Standard PM 1/4 (2000), *Nursery inspection, exclusion and treatment for *Arthurdendyrus triangulatus**, <http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/general.htm>.
- EPPO Standard PM 3/67 (2005), *Guidelines for the management of invasive alien plants or potentially invasive alien plants which are intended for import or have been intentionally imported*. [www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-2338.2006.01031.x](http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-2338.2006.01031.x).

- EPPO Reporting Service (2007), Pathway analysis: aquatic plants imported in France 2007/016, <http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOReporting/2007/Rse-0701.pdf>.
- EPPO (2007), Datasheet on *Solanum elaeagnifolium*. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin* **37**, 236-45, [www.eppo.org/QUARANTINE/plants/Solanum\\_elaeagnifolium/Solanum\\_elaeagnifolium\\_DS.pdf](http://www.eppo.org/QUARANTINE/plants/Solanum_elaeagnifolium/Solanum_elaeagnifolium_DS.pdf).
- Essl, F and Rabitsch, W (2004), Austrian Action Plan on Invasive Alien Species. Lebensministerium (Austria). Available at [www.biodiv.at](http://www.biodiv.at).
- Essl, F, Klingenstein, F, Nehring, S, Otto, C, Rabitsch, W, and Stöhr, O (2008) Schwarze Listen invasiver Arten – ein wichtiges Instrument für den Naturschutz! Natur und Landschaft, in press.
- European Garden Flora Editorial Committee (eds) (1984-2000), *European Garden Flora*. A Manual for the Identification of Plants Cultivated in Europe, both Out-of-Doors and under Glass, Vols. 1-6, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- European Union (2000), Council Directive 2000/29/EC of 8 May 2000 on protective measures against the introduction into the Community of organisms harmful to plants or plant products and against their spread within the community. *Official Journal of the European Communities*, L 169: 1-112 (available at [ue.eu.int](http://ue.eu.int)).
- Fragi Arguimbau, P (2007), Conservación de flora amenazada y plantas invasoras en la isla de Menorca. *Conservación Vegetal* Núm. 11: 30-32.
- Genovesi, P, Shine, C (2002), European Strategy on Invasive Alien Species. Convention on the Conservation of European wildlife and natural habitats. T-PVS (2003) 7 revised, 50 pp, [www.coe.int/t/e/Cultural\\_Co-operation/Environment/Nature\\_and\\_biological\\_diversity/Nature\\_protection/sc23\\_tpvs07erev.pdf?L=E](http://www.coe.int/t/e/Cultural_Co-operation/Environment/Nature_and_biological_diversity/Nature_protection/sc23_tpvs07erev.pdf?L=E).
- Groves, RH, Boden, R and Lonsdale, WM (2005), *Jumping the Garden Fence. Invasive garden plants in Australia and their environmental and agricultural impacts*. CSIRO report prepared for WWF-Australia, WWF-Australia, Sydney, [www.weeds.org.au/docs/jumping\\_the\\_garden\\_fence.pdf](http://www.weeds.org.au/docs/jumping_the_garden_fence.pdf).
- Habitat Directive 92/43/EEC, [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/nature\\_conservation/eu\\_nature\\_legislation/habitats\\_directive/index\\_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/nature_conservation/eu_nature_legislation/habitats_directive/index_en.htm).
- Heywood, VH (1987), The role of seed lists in botanic gardens today. In: Simmons, JB et al. (eds.), *Conservation of Threatened Plants* pp. 225-31, Plenum Press, New York.
- Heywood, VH (1989), Patterns, extents and modes of invasions by terrestrial plants. Chapter 2 in Drake, JA, Mooney, HA, di Castri, F, Groves, RH, Kruger, FJ, Rejmánek, M and Williamson, M (eds), *Biological Invasions. A global perspective*, John Wiley, Chichester.
- Heywood, VH (2006), Changing attitudes to plant introduction and invasives. In Brunel, S (ed.), *Invasive Plants in Mediterranean type regions of the world* 119-28, 2006. Environmental Encounters Series No. 59, Council of Europe, Strasbourg.
- Hulme, PE (2007), Biological Invasions in Europe: Drivers, Pressures, States, Impacts and Responses. In *Biodiversity Under Threat* (eds Hester, R and Harrison, RM)

- Issues in Environmental Science and Technology, 2007, pp. 55-79, 25 Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge.
- Hulme, PE, Bacher, S, Kenis, M, Klotz, S, Kühn, I, Minchin, D, Nentwig, W, Olenin, S, Panov, V, Pergl, J, Pyšek, P, Roques, A, Sol, D, Solarz, W and Vilà, M (2008), Grasping at the routes of biological invasions: a framework to better integrate pathways into policy. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **45**: 403-14.
- International Plant Protection Convention Secretariat (IPPC) website, [www.ippc.int/IPP/En/default.jsp](http://www.ippc.int/IPP/En/default.jsp).
- IUCN (2000), *IUCN Guidelines For the Prevention of Biodiversity Loss Caused by Alien Invasive Species* (Species Survival Commission of IUCN, 2000). Available at [www.iucn.org/themes/ssc/pubs/policy/invasivesEng.htm](http://www.iucn.org/themes/ssc/pubs/policy/invasivesEng.htm).
- James, JK and Abbott, RJ (2006), Recent, allopatric, homoploid hybrid speciation: the origin of *Senecio squalidus* (Asteraceae) in the British Isles from a hybrid zone on Mount Etna, Sicily. *Evolution* **60**: 2533-47.
- Kay, SH and Hoyle, ST (2001), Mail order, the Internet, and invasive aquatic weeds. *Journal of Aquatic Plant management* **39**: 88-91.
- Kowarik, I (1995), Time lags in biological invasions with regard to the success and failure of alien species. In Pyšek, P, Prach, K, Rejmanek, M and Wade, PM (eds), *Plant invasions: General aspects and special problems* 15-38. SPB Academic Publishing, Amsterdam.
- Křivánek, M and Pyšek, P (2006), Predicting invasions by woody species in a temperate zone: a test of three risk assessment schemes in the Czech Republic (Central Europe), *Diversity and Distributions* **12**: 319-27.
- Lambdon, P, Pyšek, P, Basnou, C, Arianoutsou, M, Ess, F, Hejda, M, Jarošík, V, Pergl, J, Winter, M, Anastasiu, P, Andriopoulos, P, Bazos, I, Brundu, G, Celesti-Grapow, L, Chassot, P, Delipetrou, P, Josefsson, M, Kark, S, Klotz, S, Kokkoris, Y, Kühn, I, Marchante, H, Perglová, I, Pino, J, Vilà, M, Zikos, A, Roy, D and Hulme, P (2008), Alien flora of Europe: species diversity, temporal trends, geographical patterns and research needs. *Preslia* **80**: 101-49.
- Levine, JM, Vila, M, D'Antonio, CM, Dukes, JS, Grigulis, K and Lavelle, S (2003), Mechanisms underlying the impacts of exotic plant invasions. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London B*, **270**: 775-81.
- Leach, J, Dawson, H (1999), *Crassula helmsii* in the British Isles – an unwelcome invader. *British Wildlife* **10**(4): 234-39.
- Lopian, R (2005), “International Plant Protection Convention and Invasive Alien Species”, available at [www.ippc.int/servlet/BinaryDownloaderServlet/27201\\_ThePPC\\_and\\_IAS.ppt?filename=1065616217185\\_FINLAND\\_Ralf\\_Lopian.ppt&refID=27201](http://www.ippc.int/servlet/BinaryDownloaderServlet/27201_ThePPC_and_IAS.ppt?filename=1065616217185_FINLAND_Ralf_Lopian.ppt&refID=27201).
- Martin, P, Verbeek, M, Thomson, S and Martin, J (2005), The costs and benefits of a proposed mandatory invasive species labelling scheme, a discussion paper prepared for WWF-Australia by the Australian Centre for Agriculture and Law, University of New England. WWF-Australia, Sydney, 30 pp, <http://wwf.org.au/publications/InvasivesMandatoryLabelling/>.

- Miller, C, Kettunen, M and Shine, C (2006), Scope options for EU action on invasive alien species (IAS), Final report for the European Commission. Institute for European Environmental Policy (IEEP), Brussels, Belgium.
- Milne, RI and Abbott, RJ (2000), Origin and evolution of invasive naturalized material of *Rhododendron ponticum* in the British Isles. *Molecular Ecology* 9: 541-56.
- Moss, W and Walmsley, R (2005), *Controlling the Sale of Invasive Garden Plants: Why Voluntary Measures Alone Fail*. WWF-Australia Discussion Paper. WWF-Australia, Sydney.
- Pépinieres, F (2007), Plantes pour jardin sec (Catalogue), [www.jardin-sec.com/](http://www.jardin-sec.com/).
- Olson, A, Goen, J and Lerner, N (2000), *Handling and Disposal of Nonnative Aquatic Species and their Packaging*. Washington Sea Grant Program, Seattle.
- Preston, R (2002), Against this terrible invasion of foreigners we would protest. *Cabinet Magazine* Online Issue 6 Spring 2002: [www.cabinetmagazine.org/issues/6/](http://www.cabinetmagazine.org/issues/6/).
- Pyšek, P, Richardson, D, Rejmanek, M, Webster, GL, Williamson, M, Kirschner, J (2004), Alien plants in checklists and floras: toward better communication between taxonomists and ecologists. *Taxon* 53(1): 131-43.
- Randall, RP (2002), A global compendium of weeds. Shannon Books, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 905 pp., [www.hear.org/gcw/](http://www.hear.org/gcw/).
- Reichard, SH and Hamilton, CW (1997), Predicting invasions of woody plants introduced into North America. *Conservation Biology* 11: 193-203.
- Reichard, SH (2000), Screening and monitoring for invasive ability. In Ault JR (ed.), *Plant Exploration: Protocols for the Present, Concerns for the Future*. Chicago Botanic Garden, Glencoe, IL.
- Reichard, SH and White, P (2001), Horticulture as a pathway of invasive plant introductions in the United States. *BioScience* 51: 1103-13.
- Reinhardt, F, Herle, M, Bastiansen, F and Streit, B (2003), *Economic Impact of the Spread of Alien Species in Germany*. Research Report 201 86 211 UBA-FB000441e. Environmental Research of the Federal Ministry of the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety.
- Richardson, DM, Pyšek, P, Rejmanek, M, Barbour, MG, Panetta, DD and West, CJ (2000), Naturalization and invasion of alien plants: concepts and definitions. *Diversity and Distributions* 6: 93-107.
- Richardson, DM and Van Wilgen, BW (2004), Invasive alien plants in South Africa: how well do we understand the ecological impacts? *South African Journal of Science* 100: 45-52.
- Riley, S (2005), Invasive alien species and the protection of biodiversity: the role of quarantine laws in resolving inadequacies in the international legal regime. *J. Environmental Law* 17: 323-59.
- Roush, R, Groves, RH, Blood, K, Randall, RP, Walton, C, Thorp, J and Csurhes, S (1999), *Garden Plants Under the Spotlight. An Australian Strategy for Invasive Garden Plants* (Draft Released for Public Comment). Cooperative Research Centre for Weed Management Systems and Nursery Industry Association of Australia, Adelaide.

- Sanz-Elorza, M, Dana Sánchez, ED, Sobrino Vesperinas, E (eds) (2005) [2004 on title page], *Atlas de las Plantas Alóctonas Invasoras en España*, 378 pp, Dirección General de Conservación de la Naturaleza, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Madrid.
- Scalera, R and Zaghi D (2004), Alien species and nature conservation in the EU. *The role of the LIFE program*. European Commission – Environment Directorate-General. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
- Shine, C (2005), Overview of the management of invasive alien species from the environmental perspective. In IPPC Secretariat (2005), *Identification of risks and management of invasive alien species using the IPPC framework*. Proceedings of the workshop on invasive alien species and the International Plant Protection Convention, Braunschweig, Germany, 22-26 September 2003. Chapter 3. FAO, Rome.
- Shine, C (2007), Invasive species in an international context: IPPC, CBD, European Strategy on Invasive Alien Species and other legal instruments. *EPPO/OEPP Bulletin* 37: 103-13.
- Simberloff, D (2003), Confronting introduced species: a form of xenophobia? *Biological Invasions* 5: 179-92.
- Tutin, TG, Heywood, VH, Burges, NA, Moore, DM, Valentine, DH, Walters, SM and Webb, DA (eds.) (1964-1980), *Flora Europaea*, Vols. 1-5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Webb, DA (1985), What are the criteria for presuming native status? *Watsonia* 15: 231-36.
- Weber, EF (1997), The alien flora of Europe: a taxonomic and biogeographic overview. *J. Veget. Sci.* 8: 565-72.
- Weber, E (2003), *Invasive plant species of the world. A reference guide to environmental weeds*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford.
- Weber, E, Köhler, B, Gelpke, G, Perrenoud, A and Gigon, A (2005), Schlüssel zur Einteilung von Neophyten in der Schweiz in die Schwarze Liste oder die Watch-Liste. *Bot. Helv.* 115: 169-94.

## Appendix 1

### DEFINITIONS

The term **native (indigenous)** refers to those species that occur naturally in an area and thus have not been introduced deliberately or accidentally by humans. The term is usually applied to plants which evolved *in situ* or which arrived in the area before the beginning of the Neolithic period (see discussions in Heywood 1989; Webb 1985).

The term **alien** is used to refer to plants that are not native to the country, territory, area or ecosystem under consideration. Such plants are also referred to in the literature as exotic, non-native, non-indigenous, anthropophytes, metaphytes, neophytes or neobiota. For a discussion of terminology and a set of recommended definitions see Richardson et al. (2000); see also discussion in Riley (2005). Colautti and MacIsaac (2004) list in their Table 1 some 32 common terms in the English literature on invasion ecology. They also propose a neutral invasion terminology based on current models that break the invasion process into a series of consecutive, obligatory stages.

The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) Guiding Principles for the Prevention, Introduction and Mitigation of Impacts of Alien Species that Threaten Ecosystems, Habitats or Species define an alien species as one that has been introduced outside its natural past or present distribution, with an introduction being defined as the movement by a human agency, either directly or indirectly, of an alien species outside its natural range.<sup>45</sup>

**Casual alien plants** according to Pyšek et al. (2004) are aliens that may flourish and even reproduce occasionally in an area, but which do not form self-replacing populations, and which rely on repeated introductions for their persistence. Most of them do not persist and they are widely referred to in the literature as “casuals”, “adventives”, “waifs”, “transients”, “occasional escapes” and “persisting after cultivation”.

**Transience**, according to the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC), refers to the presence of a pest that is not expected to lead to establishment (ISPM No. 8, 1998). A “transient species” is considered to be “a casual species”.

**Establishment** is the stage in the invasion process at which the plant becomes successfully self-reproducing. According to the CBD 2002, **establishment** is the process whereby a species in a new area is able to reproduce successfully at a level sufficient to ensure its continued survival without infusion of new genetic material from outside the area. The invasive plant is then said to be **established** and in this sense is equivalent to “naturalised”.

---

45. Adopted as part of Decision VI/23 of the Conference of the Parties. Report of the Sixth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity, UNEP/CBD/COP/6/20. Available at [www.biodiv.org/doc/meetings/cop/cop-06/official/cop-06-20-part2-en.pdf](http://www.biodiv.org/doc/meetings/cop/cop-06/official/cop-06-20-part2-en.pdf).

The term **naturalised** is applied to alien plants that reproduce successfully without human intervention and form self-replacing populations over several generations.

The term **invasive** is applied to alien plants that have become naturalised and are, or have the potential to become, a threat to biodiversity through their ability to reproduce successfully at a considerable distance from the parent plants and have an ability to spread over large areas and displace elements of the native biota. When they cause significant habitat transformation, leading to biodiversity loss and reduction in ecosystem service, they are often known as **transformers** or **transformer species** (Richardson et al. 2000).

According to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), an **invasive alien species** is “an alien species whose introduction and/or spread threatens biological diversity” (annex footnote 57, CBD, 2002). This definition can be interpreted as covering both natural and agricultural systems, unlike the definition in the IUCN Guidelines (IUCN 2000), which defines an invasive alien species as an alien species which “becomes established in natural or semi-natural ecosystems, is an agent of change, and threatens native biological diversity”.

Although originally aimed at protecting human health and trade in agricultural commodities, one of the most effective means of containing the spread of IAS is the use of quarantine measures, especially in the case of invasive plants. This introduces the notion of **pests** which describe species that threaten or harm agricultural activity (Riley, 2005).

The term **pest** is not normally employed or defined outside this context. According to the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) a **pest** is “any species, strain or biotype of plant, animal or pathogenic agent injurious to plants or plant products”, while a **quarantine pest** is “a pest of potential economic importance to the area endangered thereby and not yet present there, or present but not widely distributed and being officially controlled”. As a consequence, considering that potential economic importance can account for environmental concern (according to the supplement to the International Standard on Phytosanitary Measures No. 5 *Glossary of phytosanitary terms*), the IPPC definition of a quarantine pest covers much of what is considered an invasive alien species under the CBD. Differences arise from the fact that a quarantine pest does not necessarily threaten biodiversity and may only affect agriculture (Lopian, 2005), and that an invasive alien plant may not be considered a quarantine pest if it is widely distributed.

The term **weed** is applied to plants, whether native or alien, which infest agricultural or horticultural crops or domestic gardens and adversely affect the plants being cultivated, often reducing yield. Their control costs the industry hundreds of millions of euros annually. They also occur in waste ground or disturbed habitats to which they are often adapted and tend to be vigorous and fast-growing and often have a high reproductive capacity which allows them to spread rapidly. Unlike invasive species, they do not invade natural ecosystems or displace native wild species.

## Appendix 2

### EXAMPLES OF EXISTING INITIATIVES

#### European

The **European Weed Research Society (EWRS)** has a **Working Group on Invasive Plants**<sup>46</sup> which aims to encourage and assist education and training on invasive plants (control, horticultural and environmental aspects) for institutions, students, professionals (road services) and the general public.

A European Union consortium called **DAISIE (Delivering Alien Invasive Inventories for Europe)**<sup>47</sup> aims to integrate information on current invasions across Europe through the development of an online, peer-reviewed database of alien species. Linking information on the species' status at both country- and Europe-wide levels should improve understanding and prediction of invasion dynamics and help prevent their spread into new areas. The research teams in DAISIE were drawn from more than 15 countries.

A group of biologists have set up **NEOBIOTA** – the European Group on Biological Invasions<sup>48</sup> – one of whose tasks is to collect all available information on invasives in Europe, the threats they cause and management techniques for reducing the impact of IAS. They organise the biannual NEOBIOTA conferences and issue a publication series of conference proceedings and monographs called NEOBIOTA.<sup>49</sup>

The **North European and Baltic Network on Invasive Alien Species (NOBANIS)**<sup>50</sup> has developed a network of common databases on alien and invasive species of the region. The participating countries are Denmark, Estonia, Finland, the Faroe Islands, Germany, Greenland, Iceland, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, Poland, the European part of Russia, and Sweden. The common portal facilitates access to IAS-related data, information and knowledge in the region.

The European Union Consortium **ALARM (Assessing Large Risks for Biodiversity with tested Methods)**<sup>51</sup> focuses its research on the assessment and forecast of changes in biodiversity and in structure, function, and dynamics of ecosystems. This

---

46. [www.ewrs.org/ewrs-iw.htm](http://www.ewrs.org/ewrs-iw.htm).

47. [www.europe-aliens.org](http://www.europe-aliens.org).

48. [www2.tu-berlin.de/~oekosys/e/neobiota\\_e.htm](http://www2.tu-berlin.de/~oekosys/e/neobiota_e.htm).

49. Kowarik, I and Starfinger, U (Hrsg.) (2002), Biologische Invasionen – eine Herausforderung zum Handeln? NEOBIOTA 1, 377 pp; Seitz, B and Kowarik, I (Hrsg.) (2003), Perspektiven für die Verwendung gebietseigener Gehölze. NEOBIOTA 2, 116 pp; Kühn, I and Klotz, S (2004), Biological Invasions – challenges for science. NEOBIOTA 3, 154 pp; Heger, T (2004), Zur Vorhersagbarkeit biologischer Invasionen – Entwicklung und Anwendung eines Modells zur Analyse der Invasion gebietsfremder Pflanzen. NEOBIOTA 4, 202 pp; Goßner, M (2004), Diversität und Struktur arborikoler Arthropodenzönosen fremdländischer und einheimischer Baumarten. Ein Beitrag zur Bewertung des Anbaus von Douglasie (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) und Roteiche (*Quercus rubra* L.). NEOBIOTA 5, 319 pp. Nentwig, W, Bacher, S, Cock, MJW, Dietz, H, Gigon, A and Wittenberg, R (eds) (2005), Biological Invasions – from Ecology to Control. NEOBIOTA 6, 199 pp, Rabitsch, W, Essl F and Klingenstein, F (eds.), Biological Invasions – from Ecology to Conservation. NEOBIOTA 7, 287 pp.

50. [www.nobanis.org/](http://www.nobanis.org/).

51. [www.alarmproject.net/alarm/](http://www.alarmproject.net/alarm/).

relates to ecosystem services and includes the relationship between society, economy and biodiversity. In particular, risks arising from climate change, environmental chemicals, biological invasions and pollinator loss in the context of current and future European land use patterns will be assessed.

## **International**

### ***Global Invasive Species Programme (GISP)***<sup>52</sup>

The GISP mission is to conserve biodiversity and sustain human livelihoods by minimising the spread and impact of invasive alien species. The primary objective of GISP is to facilitate and assist with the prevention, control and management of invasive species throughout the world.

### ***GISP Global Strategy on Invasive Alien Species***<sup>53</sup>

This strategy highlights the dimensions of the problem and outlines a framework for mounting a global-scale response. While both the problem and the scale of the solution may appear dauntingly complex, the issue presents an unparalleled opportunity to respond with actions that link preservation of biodiversity with protection of the health and livelihood of the world's human populations.

### ***Global Invasive Species Information Network (GISIN)***<sup>54</sup>

GISIN was formed to provide a platform for sharing invasive species information at a global level, via the Internet and other digital means.

Results of the GISIN needs assessment survey are available at [www.gisinet.org/Survey/SurveyResultsFinal.pdf](http://www.gisinet.org/Survey/SurveyResultsFinal.pdf).

### ***Invasive Species System Task Group (part of the Biodiversity Information Standards (TDWG))***<sup>55</sup>

**Biodiversity Information Standards (TDWG)** is an international not-for-profit group that develops standards and protocols for sharing biodiversity data.

### ***Invasive Alien Species: A Toolkit of Best Prevention and Management Practices***<sup>56</sup>

The toolkit also provides advice, references, and contacts to aid in preventing invasions by harmful species and eradicating or managing those invaders that establish populations.

---

52. [www.gisp.org/](http://www.gisp.org/).

53. McNeely, JA, Mooney, HA, Neville, LE, Schei, P and Waage, JK (eds.) (2001), *Global Strategy on Invasive Alien Species*. IUCN on behalf of the Global Invasive Species Programme, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK [www.gisp.org/publications/brochures/globalstrategy.pdf](http://www.gisp.org/publications/brochures/globalstrategy.pdf).

54. [www.gisinet.org/](http://www.gisinet.org/).

55. Biodiversity Information Standards (TDWG) (formerly Taxonomic Databases Working Group), [www.tdwg.org](http://www.tdwg.org).

56. Wittenberg, R and Cock MJW (eds.) (2001), *Invasive Alien Species: A Toolkit of Best Prevention and Management Practices*. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK, xvii-228. [www.gisp.org/publications/toolkit/Toolkiteng.pdf](http://www.gisp.org/publications/toolkit/Toolkiteng.pdf).

### **Appendix 3**

#### **ST LOUIS VOLUNTARY CODES OF CONDUCT**

##### **Codes of Conduct For Nursery Professionals**

February 2002, Revised April 2002

1. Ensure that invasive potential is assessed prior to introducing and marketing plant species new to North America. Invasive potential should be assessed by the introducer or qualified experts using emerging risk assessment methods that consider plant characteristics and prior observations or experience with the plant elsewhere in the world.

Additional insights may be gained through extensive monitoring on the nursery site prior to further distribution.

2. Work with regional experts and stakeholders to determine which species in your region are either currently invasive or will become invasive. Identify plants that could be suitable alternatives in your region.

3. Develop and promote alternative plant material through plant selection and breeding.

4. Where agreement has been reached among nursery associations, government, academia and ecology and conservation organisations, phase out existing stocks of those specific invasive species in regions where they are considered to be a threat.

5. Follow all laws on importation and quarantine of plant materials across political boundaries.

6. Encourage customers to use, and garden writers to promote, non-invasive plants.

Source: [www.centerforplantconservation.org/invasives/Download%20PDF/nursery.pdf](http://www.centerforplantconservation.org/invasives/Download%20PDF/nursery.pdf).

## Appendix 4

### RECOMMENDATIONS PROPOSED TO LESSEN THE OVERALL IMPACT OF INVASIVE PLANT SPECIES DELIBERATELY INTRODUCED FOR HORTICULTURE AND CURRENTLY AVAILABLE FOR SALE

From: Groves, RH, Boden, R and Lonsdale, WM (2005), *Jumping the Garden Fence. Invasive garden plants in Australia and their environmental and agricultural impacts*. CSIRO report prepared for WWF-Australia, WWF-Australia, Sydney.  
[www.weeds.org.au/docs/jumping\\_the\\_garden\\_fence.pdf](http://www.weeds.org.au/docs/jumping_the_garden_fence.pdf)

**Recommendation 1.** At least 80 species that are currently available for sale should be prohibited nationally from sale as an urgent priority. These include the species that are Weeds of National Significance, species on the Alert List, the species that are declared or noxious, and the 10 species that impact on Rare or Threatened Australian Plants species.

**Recommendation 2.** The 10 most important species available for sale currently in Australia should be prohibited from sale nationally from 1 July 2005.

**Recommendation 3.** Many other invasive garden plants nominated by individual states, territories or regions should be added progressively to the list of weeds prohibited from sale nationally.

**Recommendation 4.** Amendments or new regulations to the current *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* (Federal) should be considered, to allow national prohibition of the sale of specific invasive garden plants known to be major weeds and to ensure uniformity between all States and Territories.

The following three proactive recommendations will further reduce the future impacts of invasive garden plants and promote responsibility shared between government, weed managers and the wider Australian community.

**Recommendation 5.** Voluntary associations between nursery groups and weed managers at the local and regional levels should be fostered to increase the number and effectiveness of future associations.

**Recommendation 6.** Bushland areas adjoining peri-urban settlements around Australian cities should be actively and regularly searched by experienced botanists and trained community volunteers to detect and eradicate newly naturalised plant species that have already “jumped the garden fence”.

**Recommendation 7.** Increased resources should be provided to advance the awareness of the Australian community to the negative impacts that many established and emerging weeds are having on natural and agricultural ecosystems and will have in the future, focusing especially on those already growing in Australian private and public gardens.

## Appendix 5

### **LIST OF SPECIES CONSIDERED INVASIVE IN THE EURO-MEDITERRANEAN REGION**

It would be useful for a national code of conduct to contain a list of invasive alien plants. Many lists of plants considered invasive in European countries or in the Euro-Mediterranean region exist.

The European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) intends to provide updated lists of invasive alien plants. These lists will be available at [www.eppo.org](http://www.eppo.org).

A “metalist” has been drafted by Genovesi and Scalera (2007): *Assessment of existing lists of existing invasive alien species, with particular focus on species entering Europe through trade, and proposed responses*. Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats. The Council of Europe, Strasbourg, 37 pp. It is available at: [www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/conventions/Bern/T-PVS/sc27\\_inf02\\_en.pdf](http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/conventions/Bern/T-PVS/sc27_inf02_en.pdf).

The online databases DAISIE ([www.europe-aliens.org](http://www.europe-aliens.org)) and NOBANIS ([www.nobanis.org](http://www.nobanis.org)) are the most important source of information on distribution of IAS in Europe, habitats colonised, pathways, and impacts caused. For a subset of species, both databases offer detailed fact sheets with advice on management.

## Appendix 6

### EXAMPLE OF PROPOSALS OF ALTERNATIVE PLANTS FOR THE SOUTH OF FRANCE

In France, collaboration has been initiated between the “Conservatoire Botanique National Méditerranéen de Porquerolles” and the nursery industry to prevent the introduction of invasive alien plants, essentially by proposing substitute plants. A panel of representatives from the nursery and landscaping industries was established and in 2003 it issued a booklet presenting the 15 most invasive plants in the Mediterranean area and their corresponding substitute plants. Descriptions of the morphology, biology, habitats, history of introduction, impacts, management and use of the plants are provided for the following invasive plants, and substitute plants are proposed.

Invasive species	Substitute species
<i>Acacia dealbata</i> (Fabaceae)	For dry areas: <i>Colutea arborescens</i> , <i>Coronilla glauca</i> , <i>Callicotome spinosa</i> , Leguminoseae originating from the Mediterranean Basin. For ornamental purposes: <i>Sophora microphylla</i> and <i>S. tetraptera</i> , Leguminoseae originating from New Zealand.
<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (Simaroubaceae)	For dry areas: <i>Colutea arborescens</i> , <i>Coronilla glauca</i> , <i>Callicotome spinosa</i> , Fabaceae originating from the Mediterranean Basin. For ornamental purposes: <i>Fraxinus angustifolia</i> (Oleaceae) and <i>Celtis australis</i> (Ulmaceae) originating from the Mediterranean area.
<i>Amorpha fruticosa</i> (Fabaceae) (EPP0 List of IAP)	In humid environments, indigenous species from the Mediterranean area such as <i>Fraxinus angustifolia</i> (Oleaceae), <i>Salix alba</i> (Salicaceae), <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> (Betulaceae) and <i>Cornus sanguinea</i> (Cornaceae) can be used. On dry dunes, <i>Juniperus phoenicea</i> (Cupressaceae) is recommended for sand stabilisation.
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i> (Asteraceae)	For embankments: the Mediterranean <i>Atriplex halimus</i> (Chenopodiaceae). For ornamental purposes: <i>Leucophyllum frutescens</i> (Scrophulariaceae), originating from North and Central America and <i>Xanthoceras sorbifolia</i> (Sapindaceae) originating from China.
<i>Buddleia davidii</i> (Buddlejaceae)	For ornamental purposes: <i>Syringa persica</i> (Oleaceae). Note: the hybrid <i>Buddleja</i> “Lochinch” ( <i>B. davidii</i> x <i>B. fallowiana</i> ) was recommended but has been recorded as escaping (see RSE 2005/131).
<i>Carpobrotus acinaciformis</i> and <i>C. edulis</i>	For dunes, a mixture of spontaneous species is recommended. For ornamental purposes, <i>Armeria maritima</i> (Plumbaginaceae) originating from Southern Europe can be used.

<i>Cortaderia selloana</i> (Poaceae)	<i>Saccharum ravennae</i> (Poaceae) originating from the Mediterranean Basin can be used for ornamental and re-vegetation purposes.
<i>Lippia canescens</i> (Verbenaceae)	<i>Frankenia laevis</i> (Frankeniaceae), <i>Thymus ciliatus</i> and <i>Thymus serpyllum</i> var. <i>albus</i> are creeping plants originating from the Mediterranean Basin.
<i>Ludwigia grandiflora</i> and <i>L. peploides</i> (Onagraceae)	<i>Ranunculus aquatilis</i> (Ranunculaceae) from Europe and <i>Hottonia palustris</i> (Primulaceae) from Eurasia.
<i>Opuntia</i> spp.	To constitute defensive hedges: <i>Calicotome spinosa</i> (Fabaceae), originating from the Mediterranean Basin.
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> (Fabaceae)	For dry areas: <i>Colutea arborescens</i> , <i>Coronilla glauca</i> , <i>Calicotome spinosa</i> , Leguminosae originating from the Mediterranean Basin. For ornamental purposes: <i>Sorbus domestica</i> (Rosaceae) originating from Central and Southern Europe.

## Reference

Agence Méditerranéenne de l'Environnement, Conservatoire Botanique National Méditerranéen de Porquerolles (2003) Plantes envahissantes de la région méditerranéenne. Agence Méditerranéenne de l'Environnement. Agence Régionale Pour l'Environnement Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur.  
[www.ame-lr.org/plantesenvahissantes/](http://www.ame-lr.org/plantesenvahissantes/).

## Proposals for alternative aquatic plant species for oxygenating garden ponds

The UK organisation Plantlife has proposed the following list of alternative plants to non-native species to oxygenate garden ponds. These must never be collected from the wild and should only be bought from reputable garden centres, where their origin as cultivated plants can be assured.

*Callitriche stagnalis* (Callitrichaceae)  
*Ceratophyllum demersum* (Ceratophyllaceae)  
*Eleocharis acicularis* (Cyperaceae)  
*Fontinalis antipyretica* (Fontinalaceae)  
*Hippuris vulgaris* (Hippuridaceae)  
*Hottonia palustris* (Primulaceae)  
*Myriophyllum spicatum* (Haloragaceae)  
*Myriophyllum verticillatum* (Haloragaceae)  
*Potamogeton crispus* (Potamogetonaceae)  
*Ranunculus aquatilis* (Ranunculaceae)

## Reference

[www.plantlife.org.uk/uk/plantlife-campaigning-change-invasive-plants.html](http://www.plantlife.org.uk/uk/plantlife-campaigning-change-invasive-plants.html)

See also:

Branquart, E (2008), Halte à la prolifération des plantes aquatiques invasives (quelles espèces choisir pour l'aménagement des pièces d'eau et jardins aquatiques?), SPF Santé Publique, Sécurité de la Chaîne Alimentaire et Environnement, Bruxelles.

[http://ias.biodiversity.be/ias/documents/def\\_nl.pdf](http://ias.biodiversity.be/ias/documents/def_nl.pdf)

[http://ias.biodiversity.be/ias/documents/def\\_fr.pdf](http://ias.biodiversity.be/ias/documents/def_fr.pdf)

## TITLES AVAILABLE IN THE VARIOUS SERIES

### NATURE AND ENVIRONMENT

1. Aspects of forest management, 1968 (*out of print*)
2. Freshwater, 1968 (*out of print*)
3. Animals in danger, 1969 (*out of print*)
4. A handbook for local authorities, 1971 (*out of print*)
5. Soil conservation, 1972 (*out of print*)
6. Endangered Alpine regions and disaster prevention measures, 1974 (*out of print*)
7. Air pollution problems – Manual of experiments, 1975 (*out of print*)
8. Evolution and conservation of hedgerow landscapes in Europe, 1975
9. The integrated management of the European wildlife heritage, 1975 (*out of print*)
10. Threatened mammals in Europe, 1976 (*out of print*)
11. The effects of recreation on the ecology of natural landscapes, 1976 (*out of print*)
12. Heathlands of western Europe, 1976 (*out of print*)
13. The degradation of the Mediterranean maquis, 1977 (published jointly with Unesco) (*out of print*)
14. List of rare, threatened and endemic plants in Europe, 1977 (*out of print*)
15. Threatened amphibians and reptiles in Europe, 1978 (*out of print*)
16. Vegetation map (scale 1:3 000 000) of the Council of Europe member states, 1979
17. Model outline environmental impact statement from the standpoint of integrated management or planning of the natural environment, 1980
18. Threatened freshwater fish of Europe, 1980
19. European peatlands, 1980
20. Behaviour of the public in protected areas, 1981 (*out of print*)
21. Dry grasslands of Europe, 1981
22. Alluvial forests in Europe, 1981
23. Threatened *Rhopalocera* (butterflies) in Europe, 1981 (*out of print*)
24. Birds in need of special protection in Europe, 1981 (*out of print*)
25. Inventory and classification of marine benthic biocenoses of the Mediterranean, 1982
26. Town farms, 1982 (*out of print*)
27. List of rare, threatened and endemic plants in Europe (1982 edition), 1983
28. Nature in cities, 1982 (*out of print*)
29. The vegetation of the Alps, 1983
30. Salt marshes in Europe, 1984 (*out of print*)
31. Protected marine areas, 1985
32. European dune and shoreline vegetation, 1985 (*out of print*)
33. Ecological repercussions of constructing and using ski-runs, 1986 (*out of print*)
34. Environmental education for the farming community – Experimental schemes in Europe, 1987 (2nd edition, 1994)

35. Invertebrates in need of special protection in Europe, 1987
36. Development of flora and fauna in urban areas, 1987 (*out of print*)
37. Conservation of marine benthic biocenoses in the North Sea and the Baltic, 1987
38. The protection of dragonflies (*Odonata*) and their biotopes, 1988 (*out of print*)
39. Problems of soil conservation, 1988
40. Texts adopted by the Council of Europe in the field of the conservation of European wildlife and natural habitats, 1993
41. The biology, status and conservation of the monk seal (*Monachus monachus*), 1989
42. Saproxyllic invertebrates and their conservation, 1989
43. Possible causes of forest decline and research programmes in Europe, 1989 (*out of print*)
44. The biological significance and conservation of Hymenoptera in Europe, 1990
45. Status, conservation needs and reintroduction of the lynx (*Lynx lynx*) in Europe, 1990
46. Conservation of threatened freshwater fish in Europe, 1991 (2nd edition, 1994)
47. Status and conservation needs of the wolf (*Canis lupus*) in the Council of Europe member states, 1990
48. Marine turtles in the Mediterranean: distribution, population status, conservation, 1990
49. Evergreen forests in the Macaronesian Region, 1990 (*out of print*)
50. Marine reserves and conservation of Mediterranean coastal habitats, 1990
51. Towards the conservation of aculeate Hymenoptera in Europe, 1991
52. The means of giving greater prominence to environmental issues in agricultural education at secondary school level, 1992
53. Présentation et étude comparative de quatre réseaux de zones protégées en Europe, 1991 (available in French only)
54. The wild mink (*Mustela lutreola*) in Europe, 1992
55. Status and conservation of the pardel lynx (*Lynx pardina*) in the Iberian Peninsula, 1992
56. The conservation of natural habitats outside protected areas: legal analysis, 1992
57. The conservation of European orchids, 1992
58. Balanced development of the countryside in western Europe, 1992
59. Rehabilitation of natural habitats in rural areas, 1992
60. Datasheets of flora species – Volume I, 1992
61. Datasheets of flora species – Volume II, 1992
62. Datasheets of flora species – Volume III, 1992
63. Datasheets of flora species – Volume IV, 1992
64. Threatened non-marine molluscs of Europe, 1992
65. Potential long-term ecological impact of genetically modified organisms, 1993
66. Conservation of freshwater fish in Europe, 1994

67. Status and conservation needs of the otter (*Lutra lutra*) in the western Palearctic, 1994
68. Guidelines to be followed in the design of plant conservation or recovery plans, 1994
69. Status and conservation of the wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) in Europe and around the Mediterranean rim, 1994
70. The integrated development of the countryside in central and eastern European countries, 1994
71. European soil resources, 1995
72. Underground habitats and their protection, 1995
73. Introduction of non-native organisms into the natural environment, 1996
74. Pan-European Biological and Landscape Diversity Strategy, 1996
75. Texts adopted by the Standing Committee of the Bern Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (19.IX.1979) (1982-97), 1997
76. Status and conservation of Desmaninae in Europe, 1996
77. Listing of biotopes in Europe according to their significance for invertebrates, 1996
78. A classification of Palearctic habitats, 1996
79. Background information on invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention – Part I: *Crustacea*, *Coleoptera* and *Lepidoptera*, 1996
80. Background information on invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention – Part II: *Mantodea*, *Orthoptera* and *Arachnida*, 1996
81. Background information on invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention – Part III: *Mollusca* and *Echinodermata*, 1996
82. Legal measures for the conservation of natural areas, 1996
83. Tourism and environment in European countries, 1996
84. Compensation for damage caused by wild animals, 1996
85. Private or voluntary systems of natural habitats' protection and management, 1996
86. Management of the beaver (*Castor fiber*): towards restoration of its former distribution and ecological function in Europe, 1997
87. Introduction of non-native plants into the natural environment, 1997
88. Comparative analysis of the effectiveness of legislation for the protection of wild flora in Europe, 1997
89. Legal obstacles to the application of nature conservation legislation, 1997
90. The conservation and management of the European badger (*Meles meles*), 1997
91. Study of biotopes and habitats losing wildlife interest as a result of ecological succession, 1997
92. Guidelines for action plans for animal species: planning recovery, 1997
93. First phase report of the Trebon otter project, 1998
94. Protection of biological and landscape diversity in agricultural landscapes of central and Eastern Europe, 1999

95. Nature conservation sites designated in application of international instruments at pan-European level, 1999
96. Progress report on the implementation of the Pan-European Biological and Landscape Diversity Strategy, 1999
97. Action plan for *Maculinea* butterflies in Europe, 1999
98. Environmental training for tourism professionals, 1999
99. Red Data Book of European Butterflies (*Rhopalocera*) (not yet issued)
100. Action Plan for *Cypripedium Calceolus* in Europe, 1999
101. Model law on sustainable management of coastal zones and European code of conduct for coastal zones, 1999
102. Implementation of the Bern Convention – Nordic countries: Sweden, 2000
103. Implementation of the Bern Convention – Nordic countries: Norway, 2000
104. Implementation of the Bern Convention – Nordic countries: Denmark, 2000
105. Implementation of the Bern Convention – Nordic countries: Finland, 2002 (not issued)
106. Status of hamsters *Citerius citerius*, *Citerius migratorius*, *Mesocricetus newtoni* and other hamsters species in Europe, 2000
107. General guidelines for the development of the Pan-European Ecological Network, 2000
108. Action plan for the conservation of the pond bat in Europe (*Myotis dasycneme*), 2000
109. Action plan for the conservation of the greater horseshoe bat in Europe (*Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*), 2000
110. National and Regional Approaches for Ecological Networks in Europe, 2001
111. Action plan for the conservation of the Iberian lynx in Europe (*Lynx pardinus*), 2000
112. Action plan for the conservation of the Eurasian lynx in Europe (*Lynx lynx*), 2000
113. Action plan for the conservation of the wolves in Europe (*Canis lupus*), 2000
114. Action plan for the conservation of the brown bear in Europe (*Ursus arctos*), 2000
115. Action plan for the conservation of the wolverines in Europe (*Gulo gulo*), 2000
116. Tourism and the environment in European countries, 2000
117. Action plan for *Margaritifera auricularia* and *Margaritifera margaritifera* in Europe, 2001
118. Methods to control and eradicate non-native terrestrial vertebrate species, 2001
119. Texts adopted by the Standing Committee of the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern, 19 September 1979) (1997-2000), 2001
120. Compendium of Recommendations and Resolutions adopted by the Committee of Ministers in the field of the Environment (Russian version only) 2001
121. The micro-reserves as a tool for conservation of threatened plants in Europe (English only), 2001

122. Threatened mushrooms in Europe, 2001
123. Corridors for birds within a Pan-European Ecological Network, 2002
124. Guidelines on the application of existing international instruments in developing the Pan-European Ecological Network, 2002
125. Ecological corridors in land use planning and development policies, 2002 (English only)
126. Ecological network and local authorities – Sociological instruments, 2002
127. Ecological corridors and species – large carnivores in the Alpine region, 2002
128. Identification of the most important transboundary protected areas in Central and Eastern Europe
129. Guidelines for the constitution of ecological river networks, 2002
130. The Pan-Alpine Conservation Strategy for the Lynx, 2003
131. Code of Practice for the introduction of biological and landscape diversity considerations into the transport sector, 2003
132. Studies on transport and biological and landscape diversity, 2003
133. “High-level Pan-European Conference on Agriculture and Biodiversity” – Compendium of background reports, 2003
134. Corridors and ecosystems: coastal and marine areas, 2003 (English only)
135. The restoration of sites and ecological corridors in the framework of building up a Pan-European Ecological Network, with examples of best practices from European countries, 2003 (English only)
136. 33 threatened fungi in Europe, 2004 (English only)
137. European strategy on invasive alien species, 2004
138. The implementation of the Bern Convention in Ireland, 2004 (English only)
139. Windfarms and birds, 2004 (English only)
140. Protecting birds from powerlines, 2004 (English only)
141. European bison (*Bison bonasus*) – Current state of the species and action plan for its conservation, 2004 (English only)
142. Texts adopted by the Standing Committee of the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Berne, 19 September 1979) 2001-2004, 2005
143. Using tax incentives to conserve and enhance biodiversity in Europe, 2005
144. Action Plan for the conservation of sturgeons (*Acipenseridae*) in the Danube River Basin, 2006
145. European Strategy for the conservation of invertebrates, 2007
146. The Pan-European Ecological Network: taking stock, 2007
147. The implementation of the Bern Convention in Hungary, 2007
148. The implementation of the Bern Convention in Spain, 2007
149. Conserving European Biodiversity in the context of climate change, 2007
150. European Charter on Hunting and Biodiversity Charte européenne de la chasse et de la biodiversité (Bilingual edition), 2008
151. Guidance for the conservation of Mushrooms in Europe (English only), 2008

152. Action plan for the conservation and the restoration of the European Sturgeon (English only), 2008
153. The implementation of the Bern Convention in Morocco (French only), 2008
154. Texts adopted by the Standing Committee of the Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention) 2005-2008, 2009
155. Code of Conduct on Horticulture and Invasive Alien Plants, 2009

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL ENCOUNTERS**

1. Environmental training in agricultural circles, 1987 (out of print)
2. Parks, plans and people – Protected areas and socio-economic development, 1987 (out of print)
3. Workshop on the situation and protection of ancient natural and semi-natural woodlands in Europe, 1987
4. A new management of the environment in rural areas, 1988
5. Training course for managers of protected areas in Europe and Africa, 1989
6. The situation of the brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) in Europe, 1989
7. Nature tomorrow, 1989
8. The conservation of wild progenitors of cultivated plants, 1991
9. Nature museums: tools for learning about, promoting and protecting the natural heritage of Europe, 1990
10. Colloquy on the Bern Convention invertebrates and their conservation, 1990 (out of print)
11. The situation, conservation needs and reintroduction of the lynx in Europe, 1992
12. The management of Mediterranean wetlands, 1992
13. The conservation of the Mediterranean monk seal, 1992
14. Wetland invertebrates, 1992
15. Seminar for managers of diploma-holding areas, 1992
16. Seminar on the biology and conservation of the wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) (Bilingual edition), 1993
17. Seminar on the management of small populations of threatened mammals, 1994
18. Workshop on nature conservation in central and eastern Europe, 1994
19. Seminar on recovery plans for species of amphibians and reptiles, 1994
20. Pan-European conference on the potential long-term ecological impact of genetically modified organisms, 1995
21. Between the two extremes – dereliction and over-use: how shall the land be managed so as to benefit the wildlife, the countryside and the landscape? 1995
22. Symposium on the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Bern Convention: the next steps, 1995
23. Protection of coastal areas of the Adriatic Sea, 1995

24. Seminar on the conservation of the European otter (*Lutra lutra*), 1996
25. Seminar on the biology and conservation of European desmans and water shrews (*Galemys pyrenaicus*, *Desmana moschata*, *Neomys spp.*), 1996
26. Landscape diversity: A chance for the rural community to achieve a sustainable future, 1996
27. How hunting and fishing can contribute to nature conservation and rural development (bilingual edition), 1996
28. Seminar for managers of diploma-holding areas, 1996
29. Environmental education, 1996
30. Environmental education in school systems, 1996
31. Agriculture and related activities in protected areas, 1996
32. Sustainable tourism development, 1996
33. Colloquy on conservation, management and restoration of habitats for invertebrates: enhancing biological diversity, 1997
34. Colloquy on sustainable tourism development: reconciling economic, cultural, social, scientific and environmental factors, 1997
35. Seminar on incentive measures for the voluntary creation and management of protected areas, 1997 (bilingual edition)
36. Tourism and environment: towards a new tourist culture, 1998
37. Environment conservation and the media, 1998
38. The re-introduction of the Lynx into the Alps, 1998
39. Drafting and implementing action plans for threatened species, 1998
40. Protected areas: centres for propagating a general nature conservation policy, 1998
41. Links between the sustainable development of tourism and regional/spatial planning, 1999
42. The Bern Convention and national case law: effecting implementation, 2000
43. Tourism and environment: the natural, cultural and socio-economic challenges of sustainable tourism, 2000
44. 1st international symposium of the Pan-European Ecological Network: "Nature does not have any borders: towards transfrontier ecological networks", 2000
45. Workshop on Ecological corridors for invertebrates: strategies of dispersal and recolonisation in today's agricultural and forestry landscapes, 2001
46. Communication and biodiversity, 2001
47. Nature as heritage: from awareness to action, 2002
48. International Colloquy in tribute to the memory of Cyrille de Klemm: "Biological diversity and environmental law", 2001
49. Biological and landscape diversity in Ukraine, 2001
50. The partnership of local and regional authorities in the conservation of biological and landscape diversity, 2001
51. Sustainable tourism, environment and employment, 2002
52. Awareness to the landscape: from perception to protection, 2002

53. High-level Pan-European Conference on Agriculture and Biodiversity: towards integrating biological and landscape diversity for sustainable agriculture in Europe, 2003
54. 3rd International Symposium of the Pan-European Ecological Network “Fragmentation of habitats and ecological corridors”, 2003
55. Marine and coastal ecological corridors, 2003
56. 4th International Symposium of the Pan-European Ecological Network “Marine and coastal biodiversity and protected areas”, 2004
57. 5th International Symposium of the Pan-European Ecological Network “Pan-European Ecological Network in forests: conservation of biodiversity and sustainable management”, 2005
58. 2nd Conference on the Status and Conservation of the Alpine Lynx (SCALP), 2005 (Amden, Switzerland, 7-9 May 2003) (English only)
59. Invasive plants in Mediterranean regions of the world, 2006
60. Transboundary management of large carnivore populations, 2006
61. The 25th anniversary of the Bern Convention
62. 40th anniversary of the European Diploma – A network for nature and people

#### **Questions and Answers**

1. Biodiversity, 1997
2. Agriculture and biodiversity, 1997
3. Tourism and environment, 1998
4. The pan-European ecological network, 1998
5. Forests and biodiversity, 1999
6. The European diploma for protected areas, 2000
7. Integration of biodiversity into sectoral policies, 2003
8. Conservation of large carnivores in Europe, 2005
9. The Bern Convention, 2007

#### **Planning and management**

1. Hedges, 1988
2. Farming and wildlife, 1989
3. Watercourses. Conservation, maintenance and management, 1994
4. Rural landscapes in Europe: principles for creation and management, 1994
5. Amphibians and reptiles: conservation management of species and habitats, 1997

#### **Other publications**

Naturoipa journal (three issues per year, in English and French)  
 Management of Europe’s natural heritage – twenty-five years of activity, 1987  
*(out of print)*  
 A European cultural revolution: the Council of Europe’s “Charter of invertebrates”, 1986 *(out of print)*

The Bern Convention on Nature Conservation, 1991  
Contribution to the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), 1993  
European conservation strategy, 1993  
The state of the environment in Europe: the scientists take stock of the situation, 1993  
Model law on the protection of the environment, 1994  
The Council of Europe and the protection of the environment, 1995  
The Council of Europe and the environment, 2002  
Texts adopted by the Council of Europe in the field of the environment, 2002 (bilingual edition)

The majority of the Council of Europe's publications are available in English and French editions.

© Cover page photos credits: High speed train and motorway, Peter Frischmuth/Bios – Netherlands, old mill and windmills, Dick Ross/Bios – France, Vosges, stream in winter, Y Noto Campanella/Bios – Brown bear, Frédéric Fève/Bios – Germany, traditional forwarding by animal traction, Hartmut Schwarzbach/Bios – Depressed dragonfly, Felix Labhardt/Bios – Hungary, stork nest built on a phone post, Ron Gilling/Bios – Grey wolf, Klein/Hubert/Bios – European otter, J-J Alcalay/Bios.